

## **APPENDIX B: AUDIT CHECKLIST**

## **AUDIT CHECKLIST Woodlawn wind farm DA-250-10-2004-i as modified**

**Date: 3 July 2012**

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
5	<p><b>Provision and Protection of Public Infrastructure</b> The Applicant must:</p> <p>(a) repair, or pay the full costs associated with repairing, any public infrastructure that is damaged by the development; and</p> <p>(b) relocate, or pay the full costs associated with relocating, any public infrastructure that needs to be relocated as a result of the Development.</p> <p>Note: The Applicant must ensure that all works are carried out in accordance with the Act, the Regulation, the Local Government Act 1993 (Approvals) Regulations, and the BCA.</p>	Yes	<p><i>The Pre-operations Compliance Report States that this was not required.</i></p> <p>A Post construction road dilapidation report was prepared and submitted to the RTA, Palerang and Goulburn Mulwaree Council's (signed receipts sighted by auditors).</p>	
6	<p><b>Compliance</b> General The Applicant must be responsible for environmental impacts resulting from the actions of all persons on-site, including contractors, subcontractors and visitors.</p>	Yes	<p>The applicant advised measures to minimise any environmental impact from persons on site include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An induction is required to be undertaken by all visitors prior to access to the wind farm being made available (auditors undertook induction prior to audit of wind farm);</li> <li>• Service technicians are accompanied by Woodlawn staff;</li> <li>• Weekly tool box meetings are undertaken to reinforce environmental awareness;</li> <li>• Each staff member is provided with a 36 page induction handbook (sighted by auditors)</li> </ul>	
13	<p><b>Environmental Monitoring</b> General Monitoring Requirements The Applicant must undertake all monitoring, including recording and reporting of monitoring results, as required under this Consent and as may be specified in an EPL for the Development.</p>	Yes	<p><i>The pre-operation compliance report states that monitoring has taken place during Construction in accordance with the various sub plans of the CEMP, making use of the respective registers and site compliance check sheets</i></p> <p>The proponent advised that monitoring is being</p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
			conducted in accordance with this condition and will be reflected in annual reports.	
17	Environmental Impact Audit Report - Operation	Yes	EIAR is not required until February 2013	
23	<p>Environmental Representative</p> <p>Prior to the commencement of Construction, the Applicant must nominate a suitably qualified and experienced Environmental Representative(s) (ER) whose appointment requires the approval of the Director General. The Applicant must employ the ER(s) on a full-time basis, or as otherwise agreed by the Director General, during the Construction, and Commissioning. An ER must also be employed during Operation. The ER must be:</p> <p>(a) the primary contact point in relation to the environmental performance of the Development;</p> <p>(b) responsible for all management plans and monitoring programs required under this Consent;</p> <p>(c) responsible for considering and advising on matters specified in the Conditions of Consent, and all other licences and approvals related to the environmental performance and impacts of the Development;</p> <p>(d) responsible for receiving and responding to complaints in accordance with this Consent; and</p> <p>(e) given the authority and independence to require reasonable steps be taken to avoid or minimise unintended or adverse environmental impacts, and failing the effectiveness of such steps, to direct that relevant actions be ceased immediately should an adverse impact on the environment be likely to occur.</p> <p>The Applicant must obtain approval from the Director General for changes to the appointment of the ER during Construction. The Applicant must notify the Director General of any changes to the appointment during Operation.</p>	Yes	<p><i>ER (Mr Jeff Bembrick) approved by Director Infrastructure as delegate of the DG 20/05/2010.</i></p> <p><i>ER (Heather Tilley) replacement approved by Director Infrastructure as delegate of the DG 02/03/2011.</i></p> <p><i>ER (Heather Tilley) confirmed as Operations ER 30/09/2011</i></p> <p>The proponent advised the Department the project's ER provides advice as required. The ER is also copied in on complaints and advises the proponent, rather than the complainant, on what measures may be required to address concerns raised.</p>	
24	<p><b>Greenhouse and Energy Management Strategy</b></p> <p>A Greenhouse and Energy Management Strategy must be prepared to ensure the use of non-renewable resources from Construction and Operation is minimised. The strategy must incorporate the mitigation measures identified in Section 19.4 of the EIS.</p>	No	<p><i>Section 92 (Key Strategies) of the OEMP included the implementation of energy saving light bulbs.</i></p> <p>During the site audit it was observed that in the</p>	<p><b>Action Required:</b></p> <p>Implement energy saving light bulbs in accordance with Section 9.2 of the OEMP (which incorporates the projects Greenhouse and Energy Management Strategy).</p>

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
		Yes	<p>storage areas fluorescent tube lighting was in use (the tubes were not energy saving bulbs).</p> <p>Also included as part of the OEMP, Section 9.3 Monitoring and Reporting of the OEMP provides for daily monitoring checks and the Appendix C checklist to be completed every 6 months.</p> <p>The checklists were sighted by the auditors during the site inspection.</p>	
25	<p><b>Air Quality Management Strategy</b> An Air Quality Management Strategy must be prepared to control Dust and air emissions resulting from Construction and Operation. The strategy must incorporate the mitigation measures identified in Section 18.5 of the EIS.</p>	Yes	<p>Section 10.3 Monitoring and Reporting of the OEMP provides for daily monitoring checks and the Appendix C checklist to be completed every 6 months.</p> <p>The proponent advised the daily checks are being conducted (checklists were sighted by auditors during inspection).</p>	
33	<p><b>Operation Environmental Management Plan</b> The Applicant must prepare and implement an Operation Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) in accordance with the Department's publication entitled Guideline for the Preparation of Environmental Management Plans (2004) or its latest revision. The Applicant must ensure that the mitigation and monitoring measures identified in the EIS (see in particular Section 22 of the EIS) and its attachments, and in these Conditions of Consent are incorporated into the OEMP and that <b>the OEMP is Publicly Available</b>. The OEMP must be prepared in consultation with the Relevant Government Agencies and Council, and must be certified by the ER as being in accordance with the Conditions of Consent. The OEMP is to be submitted for the approval of the Director General no later than one month prior to the commencement of Operation, or within such period otherwise agreed to by the Director General.</p>	Yes	<p>The project's Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) was approved by the Director Infrastructure as delegate to the Director-General on 09/11/11.</p> <p>Certification by ER received 27 July 2011</p> <p>A review of the proponent's website indicated the OEMP was not available in soft copy.</p> <p>During the site inspection, the proponent advised the auditors the OEMP is made publically available for viewing through the projects site office within the substation (hard copy only).</p>	<p><b>Observation:</b> Access to the Capital substation is not readily available to the public with the entry signage (to the site) stating 'unauthorised entry is prohibited and trespassers will be prosecuted'. In addition, there was no contact number for the public to contact the substation to request authorised access to view documents associated with the project and the sites signage does not reflect the fact documents are available for public viewing. It is recommended the proponent make all publically available project related documents accessible on its website and provide contact details at the substation entry.</p>

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
35	<p><b>Operation Flora and Fauna Management Sub Plan</b> An Operation Flora and Fauna Management Sub Plan must be prepared as part of the OEMP. The sub plan must include:</p> <p>(a) plans showing terrestrial vegetation communities, important flora and fauna habitat areas, areas to be protected, and areas to be planted;</p> <p>(b) methods for managing flora and fauna and their habitats which are directly or indirectly affected by the Development;</p> <p>(c) the mitigation measures outlined in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i Table 11.9 of the EIS;</li> <li>ii Section 5 of the report entitled Raptor and Waterbird Movements at Woodlawn Wind Farm Site, as identified in Condition No. 2(f);</li> <li>iii Table 5.1 of the report entitled Assessment of Revised Transmission Line Option: Woodlawn Wind Farm, as identified in Condition No. 2(b);</li> <li>iv Section 5 of the report entitled Targeted Reptile Search at Woodlawn Wind Farm, as identified in Condition No. 2(g);</li> <li>v the section headed Recommendations of the report entitled An Assessment of the Bat Fauna at the Proposed Woodlawn Wind Farm, NSW, as identified in Condition No. 2(h); and</li> </ul> <p>(d) strategies to control the spread of weeds during Operation.</p>	Yes	<p><i>Included in OEMP</i></p> <p>The Department sought clarification on how the important flora and fauna habitats are identified on site for visitors.</p> <p>The proponent advised the auditors that all visitors are required to stay on formed tracks/paths when on site. This is reflected in the Woodlawn Wind Farm Site Induction handbook, WOO-H-2103 Rev 2 (Section 9, page 31).</p>	
36	<p><b>Operation Soil and Water Management Sub Plan</b> An Operation Soil and Water Management Sub Plan must be prepared as part of the OEMP. The sub plan must incorporate the mitigation measures identified in the Sections 16.6 and 17.5 of the EIS and Table 5.1 of the report entitled Assessment of Revised Transmission Line Option: Woodlawn Wind Farm.</p>	Yes	<p><i>This plan has been included in the project's OEMP.</i></p> <p>There was no evidence of ongoing significant erosion on site at the time of the auditor's inspection. Refer Attachment .....(photographs)</p>	
37	<p><b>Bird and Bat Adaptive Management Program</b> A Bird and Bat Adaptive Management Program must be prepared as part of the OEMP and undertaken by a suitably qualified expert approved by the Director General and must:</p> <p>(a) incorporate monitoring, and a decision matrix that clearly describes how the Applicant will respond to the outcomes of monitoring;</p> <p>(b) incorporate an on-going role for the suitably qualified expert;</p>	Yes	<p><i>Suitably qualified experts Greg Richards and Associates and Brett Lane and Associates were approved by the Director Infrastructure as delegate of the DG 11/10/11.</i></p> <p><i>A Bird and Bat Adaptive Management Plan included as Appendix F of the OEMP October</i></p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
	<p>(c) set out monitoring techniques, taking into account best practice bird and bat monitoring methods for wind farms such as those identified in the current editions of AusWEA Best Practice Guidelines for the Implementation of Wind Energy Projects in Australia and Assessing the Impacts of Windfarms on Birds - Protocols and Data Set Standards;</p> <p>(d) account for natural and human changes to the surrounding environment that might influence bird and/or bat behaviour such as changes in land use practices, and significant changes in water levels in nearby waterbodies;</p> <p>(e) incorporate a decision making framework that sets out specific actions and when they may be required, to reduce identified impacts on birds and bats;</p> <p>(f) identify 'at risk' bird and bat groups and include monthly censuses of their movements; and</p> <p>(g) set out available mitigation measures including, but limited to, those identified in Condition No. 35(c).</p>		<p>2011.</p> <p>Copies of the Bird and Bat monitoring records were sighted during the site audit.</p> <p>In addition, the Woodlawn Wind Farm Site Induction handbook, WOO-H-2103 Rev 2 requires any incidents involving fauna to be immediately reported to the Site Manager.</p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
38	<p>The Applicant must prepare annual reports commencing 12 months from the start of Operation describing the activities undertaken within the Bird and Bat Adaptive Management Program. The reports must be prepared within 2 months of the end of the reporting period and be provided to the Director General. The reports must address the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) outcomes of monitoring;</li> <li>(b) application of the decision making framework;</li> <li>(c) need for mitigation measures;</li> <li>(d) progress with implementation of mitigation measures; and</li> <li>(e) effectiveness of the mitigation measures.</li> </ul>	Yes	<p><i>The OEMP was approved 9/11/11 therefore the first Annual Report is due in November 2012.</i></p> <p>The annual report is not due until November 2012 however the proponent was requested to confirm monthly censuses (mortality, scavenger trials, searcher efficiency trials etc) were being conducted and provided copies of reports prepared by Brett Lane &amp; Associates Pty Ltd, including an email dated 28 December 2011 (reporting results for October, November and December 2011) and an email dated 21 March 2012 for the March period with an accompanying carcass report data sheet (dated 18 March 2012).</p> <p>The proponent advised there has been no requirement to review turbine management as a result of the monitoring.</p>	
40	<p><b>Off-Site Landscape Sub Plan</b></p> <p>As part of the OEMP the Applicant must develop and implement an Off-Site Landscape Sub Plan to address visual impacts of the proposed development for any owner of an existing or approved residential dwelling with views of turbine(s) located within four kilometres of their dwelling. The Applicant must notify in writing all owners of a residential dwelling with views of turbines located within four kilometres of their residential dwelling, prior to the commencement of Commissioning. These owners may request, no later than six months after commencement of Operation, inclusion of their property in the Off-Site Landscape Sub Plan. The Applicant must implement all Reasonable and Feasible requirements for landscape works to provide screening from the turbines. The sub plan is to be fully implemented within 18 months of the commencement of Operation.</p>	Yes	<p><i>The pre-operations compliance report states approx half of all landholders notified wanted to be included in the Plan.</i></p> <p>The proponent advised the plan has been fully implemented and landscaping completed.</p> <p>The proponent advised the auditors that no additional landowners had approached the proponent seeking landscaping mitigation measures.</p> <p>It was noted that the proponent was not able to provide any signed documents from residents</p>	<p><b>Observation:</b></p> <p>It is recommended that for any future mitigation works associated with the operation of the wind farm, that the proponent seeks to have land owners acknowledge such works have been completed and which is to their satisfaction. This would enable the proponent to verify such works have been undertaken.</p>

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
			acknowledging completion of landscaping works.	
41	<p>COMMUNICATION AND CONSULTATION Information on the Development The Applicant must make all documents relevant to this Consent, with the exception of that information that may be legitimately claimed is of a confidential commercial nature, Publicly Available at a location on the Development Site convenient for inspection by visitors.</p>	No	<p><i>Pre compliance report states all records to be held at the site office.</i> <i>Pre-operations compliance report states that this documentation will be moved to the Capital substation upon commencement of operations.</i></p> <p>The proponent has made documents pertaining to the project publically available at the Capital substation office however a number of documents were not being made available (such as the OEMP) with the proponent stating they were of a confidential and commercial nature.</p> <p>Further, access to the Capital substation is not readily available to the public with the entry signage (to the site) stating '<i>unauthorised entry is prohibited</i>' and '<i>trespassers will be prosecuted</i>'. In addition, there was no contact number for the public to contact the substation to request authorised access to view documents associated with the project and the sites signage does not reflect the fact documents are available for public viewing.</p> <p>The proponent also identifies which documents are required for the project and clarifies which are being made publically available and which are to be withheld as confidential and commercial in nature.</p> <p>In the auditors experience it has been found that</p>	<p><b>Required Action:</b> Provide a contact telephone number at the entrance to the substation facility to ensure documents relevant to this consent are readily available for members of the public.</p> <p><b>Observation:</b> It is recommended the proponent make all publically available project related documents accessible on its website and provide contact details at the substation entry.</p>



Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions))	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
			standard practise is for documents such as Monitoring Reports and Operational Environmental Management Plans to be made available to the public on a proponent's website.	
45	<p>The Applicant shall keep a legible record of all complaints received in an up-to-date Complaints Register. The Complaints Register must record, but not necessarily be limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the date and time, where relevant, of the complaint;</li> <li>(b) the means by which the complaint was made (telephone, mail or e-mail);</li> <li>(c) any personal details of the complainant that were provided, or if no details were provided, a note to that effect;</li> <li>(d) the nature of the complaint;</li> <li>(e) any action(s) taken by the Applicant in relation to the complaint, including any follow-up contact with the complainant; and</li> <li>(f) if no action was taken by the Applicant in relation to the complaint, the reason(s) why no action was taken.</li> </ul> <p>The Complaints Register shall be made available for inspection by the Director-General upon request. The record of a complaint must be kept for at least four years after the complaint was made.</p>	Yes	<p><i>Pre-Construction Compliance report states that the register had been established but no complaints received.</i></p> <p><i>Construction Compliance Report states that 3 complaints were received between 31 October 2010 and 24 February 2011. Complaints were about issues to do with cumulative operational noise, offensive gestures received by construction staff and audible construction noise at Capital Substation. These complaints were addressed.</i></p> <p><i>Pre-operations compliance report states that 5 complaints and 3 enquiries have been recorded.</i></p> <p>The projects complaint register was sighted by the auditors. It was noted that no complaints had been recorded since 13 October 2011 which was raised with the proponent who advised no complaints had been received since that date.</p> <p>For a number of registered complaints, the 'Action Taken' column simply stated 'responded by email' or 'added to complaint register' with no indication of what measures were conducted to investigate, or adequately address, the complaint. A number of complaints also had no</p>	<p><b>Observation:</b></p> <p>The complaint register should be updated to reflect what action is taken during the investigation of a complaint regardless of nature of the complaint.</p> <p>This should include identifying prevalent meteorological conditions at the time of the complaint, the number of turbines in operation and include the nature of the response to the complainant upon completion of the investigation.</p>

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
			response provided to a complainant.	
46	<p>VISUAL AMENITY General The Applicant must implement the landscape and visual mitigation measures identified in Table 9.5 of the EIS.</p>	Yes	<p><i>The pre-operation compliance report states that the turbines are of matt finish, substation impacts are minimal as was existing with screening mound and planting. Cut and fill was minimised and batters seeded and will be monitored. Maintenance of tracks will be ongoing and that offsite landscaping had largely been completed at residences who requested inclusion into the plan.</i></p> <p>During the site inspection the auditors observed a mound had been developed to screen the substation from surrounding properties and Bungendore Road to the south. The mound had been screen planted with plant growth underway.</p>	
47	<p><b>Signs</b> No advertising or signs are to be mounted on the turbines or placed on the Development Site, except where required for safety purposes. A corporate logo may be placed on the turbines providing it is not distinguishable by the naked eye from any publicly accessible location or from any non associated properties. Appropriately sized information boards are also permitted to be erected at the viewing platform.</p>	Yes	<p><i>The Pre-operation compliance report states that no advertising signs have been mounted on the turbines or on the Development site.</i></p> <p>No advertising was sighted on the turbines or the development site during the audit inspection.</p>	
48	<p>Lighting There must be no external night lighting of infrastructure associated with the Development, including the wind turbines, other than low intensity security lighting, unless otherwise agreed by the Director General or required by CASA.</p>	Yes	<p><i>The Pre-operation Compliance report states that there is no external night lighting of infrastructure on the development site.</i></p> <p>The proponent advised that only security lighting was in use during the night.</p> <p>Note: During the inspection of Capital wind farm,</p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)																																																																																
			an inspection of a residential property adjoining the substation observed no lighting in use.																																																																																	
49	<p>NOISE Operational Noise Criteria Noise generated from the Development must not exceed at the identified properties the equivalent noise level (LAeq, 10) adjusted for any tonality as presented in the table below.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="152 560 819 1102"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="152 560 271 735">10m (height) wind speed (m/s)</th> <th colspan="4" data-bbox="271 560 819 584">Noise level LAeq (10 minute) – 24 hours a day</th> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="152 584 271 735"></th> <th data-bbox="271 584 389 735">Property described in the EIS as Kildare</th> <th data-bbox="389 584 530 735">Property described in the EIS as Glendale</th> <th data-bbox="530 584 672 735">Property described in the EIS as Bonnie Doon</th> <th data-bbox="672 584 819 735">Property described in the EIS as Torokina</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>2</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>35</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>35</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>35</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>35</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>35</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>36</td></tr> <tr><td>8</td><td>36</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>37</td></tr> <tr><td>9</td><td>36</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>38</td></tr> <tr><td>10</td><td>37</td><td>36</td><td>35</td><td>39</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>38</td><td>36</td><td>35</td><td>39</td></tr> <tr><td>12</td><td>38</td><td>37</td><td>35</td><td>40</td></tr> <tr><td>13</td><td>39</td><td>37</td><td>35</td><td>41</td></tr> <tr><td>14</td><td>39</td><td>38</td><td>35</td><td>41</td></tr> <tr><td>15</td><td>40</td><td>39</td><td>36</td><td>42</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	10m (height) wind speed (m/s)	Noise level LAeq (10 minute) – 24 hours a day					Property described in the EIS as Kildare	Property described in the EIS as Glendale	Property described in the EIS as Bonnie Doon	Property described in the EIS as Torokina	2	35	35	35	35	3	35	35	35	35	4	35	35	35	35	5	35	35	35	35	6	35	35	35	35	7	35	35	35	36	8	36	35	35	37	9	36	35	35	38	10	37	36	35	39	11	38	36	35	39	12	38	37	35	40	13	39	37	35	41	14	39	38	35	41	15	40	39	36	42		Compliance monitoring conducted by Independent Acoustic consultant (Renzo Tonin & Associates).	
10m (height) wind speed (m/s)	Noise level LAeq (10 minute) – 24 hours a day																																																																																			
	Property described in the EIS as Kildare	Property described in the EIS as Glendale	Property described in the EIS as Bonnie Doon	Property described in the EIS as Torokina																																																																																
2	35	35	35	35																																																																																
3	35	35	35	35																																																																																
4	35	35	35	35																																																																																
5	35	35	35	35																																																																																
6	35	35	35	35																																																																																
7	35	35	35	36																																																																																
8	36	35	35	37																																																																																
9	36	35	35	38																																																																																
10	37	36	35	39																																																																																
11	38	36	35	39																																																																																
12	38	37	35	40																																																																																
13	39	37	35	41																																																																																
14	39	38	35	41																																																																																
15	40	39	36	42																																																																																
49A	The Applicant shall design, construction, operate and maintain the substation for the development (to also service the Capital Wind Farm) so that the operation of the substation does not exceed a noise contribution of 35 dB(A) (measured as an LAeq(15-minute)) at any residential receiver	Yes	Pre-construction compliance report states the sub-substation has been designed to meet the criteria in this condition.  The OEMP (Appendix G – Operational Noise Compliance Assessment Plan (NCAP)) does not refer to monitoring of the substation.	Action Required:  Proponent to provide monitoring results for the substation to confirm compliance with Condition 49A.																																																																																

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
			The proponent advised the auditors during the inspection that results from the substation monitoring would be made available.	
51	Noise from the Premises is to be measured at the most affected point within the residential boundary, or at the most affected point within 30 metres of the dwelling where the dwelling is more than 30 metres from the boundary, to determine compliance with the noise level limits set out in the table at Condition No. 49.	Yes	<i>Compliance monitoring conducted by Independent Acoustic consultant (Renzo Tonin &amp; Associates).</i>	
54	The Noise Compliance Assessment must include, but not be limited to: (a) a commitment that noise compliance monitoring must be undertaken within three calendar months of the commissioning of the wind turbines. If prevailing meteorological conditions do not allow the required monitoring to be undertaken in this period, the DEC must be notified and an extension of time may be sought; (b) a requirement that all noise compliance monitoring results are to be submitted to the Director-General within one month of completion of the monitoring. The Director-General may request that additional noise compliance monitoring be undertaken and completed within a timeframe defined by the Director-General; (c) a demonstration that wind measurements at the proposed wind monitoring station Woodlawn 15 is consistent with the Woodlawn 2 wind monitoring station; and (d) an assessment of the performance of the wind farm against the noise limits contained in Condition No. 49.	Yes	DG approved the proponents requested delay (refer letter dated 27/02/12) in noise compliance monitoring due to adverse weather conditions. Further noise monitoring required during autumn 2012.  The proponent was conducting noise monitoring during the Department's audit program.	<b>Action Required:</b>  In accordance with Condition 54 (b), noise monitoring results are to be submitted to the Director-General within one month of completion of monitoring.
55	In the event that the Noise Compliance Assessment indicates that noise from the wind turbines exceeds the noise limits contained in Condition No. 49, the Applicant must investigate and propose the mitigation and management measures that are available to achieve compliance with the noise limits. The Noise Compliance Assessment must be undertaken in accordance with the procedures presented in the SA Guidelines. Note: The data obtained using the compliance assessment procedures outlined in the SA Guidelines should be used to establish the noise levels contributed by the wind farm. The DEC will also consider other predictive compliance assessment techniques where these techniques can be justified. Although not directly applicable to wind farms, the NSW	Yes	Refer comments under Conditions 51 & 54.	

<b>Cond. No.</b>	<b>Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)</b>	<b>Compliance (Yes/No)</b>	<b>Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation</b>	<b>Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)</b>
	Industrial Noise Policy (INP) may provide additional guidance on predictive compliance assessment techniques.			

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
56	<p>Noise Mitigation – Vacant Lots</p> <p>Reasonable and Feasible noise mitigation measures are to be provided by the Applicant for no more than one new dwelling, built on any vacant lot legally existing at the date of this Consent, upon which a residential dwelling would be permissible at the same date. Noise mitigation is to be provided if the noise levels from the Development at the approved location of the new residential dwelling would exceed the SA Guidelines. Note: The intention is that this Condition of Consent does not apply to any potential future subdivision(s) that may be approved after the date of this Consent.</p>	No	<p><i>The Pre-Operation Compliance reports states that no residential dwellings had been built on vacant lots and will continue to monitor.</i></p> <p>The proponent advised the auditors that a dual occupancy had been constructed since development of the wind farm.</p> <p>The proponent advised they would review the new dwelling against the requirements of this condition and advise accordingly.</p>	<p>Action Required:</p> <p>The proponent is to clarify whether the new dwelling was constructed on a lot legally existing at the date of the Consent, and if so what mitigation measures were proposed to be implemented.</p>
57B	<p>Woodlawn Wind Pty Ltd shall submit to DECCW, AHIMS Site Recording Forms for the three identified Aboriginal heritage finds (WLWF1, WLWF2 and WLWF3), to the satisfaction of DECCW and prior to the commencement of works identified in the SEE (August 2010).</p>	YES	<p><i>Pre-operations compliance report states that AHIMS site recording forms were sent to DECCW on 2/11/10.</i></p> <p>A copy of the Biosis Research Pty Ltd email dated 2 November 2010 which confirmed the information had been forwarded to DECCW was provided to the auditors.</p>	
61	<p>Road Dilapidation Report</p> <p>Road dilapidation reports must be prepared for the construction route where it passes along Cowper Road, Clinton Street, Blackshaw Road, Braidwood Road and Bungendore Road to the Collex Intermodal Terminal. These reports must be prepared before Construction commences and after Construction is complete. Copies of the reports must be provided to the relevant roads authority. Any damage resulting from Construction traffic, except that resulting from normal wear and tear, must be repaired at the Applicant's cost. Alternatively the Applicant may negotiate an alternative arrangement for road damage with the relevant roads authority.</p>	YES	<p><i>Post construction dilapidation report provided 7/9/11. Evidence of report being sent to roads authorities 30/9/10.</i></p> <p><i>Pre-construction compliance report (23 August 2010) states that these reports would be completed in advance of construction. – Construction commenced 27/9/10.</i></p> <p><i>Correspondence from Infigen dated 17 August 2011 stated a post construction report was drafted and was intended to be forwarded to the relevant stakeholders.</i></p> <p>The proponent provided signed declaration</p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
			forms from the relevant roads authorities confirming receipt of the post construction road dilapidation reports (dated October 2011).	
62	Aviation Details of the construction timetable are to be submitted to CASA and AirServices Australia prior to the commencement of Construction.	YES	<i>Pre-construction compliance report and Pre-operations compliance report also states that CASA was advised of the construction timetable in a letter dated 2/8/10.</i> The proponent provided a copy of a letter and time table also issued to AirServices Australia dated 24 December 2010.	
64	In the event that required aerial weed control is restricted on any property surrounding the Development Site due to the location of turbines, the Applicant must fully fund the cost difference between aerial weed spraying and a reasonable alternative weed control method in the restricted area.	YES	<i>Pre-operations compliance report states that no properties have been identified as requiring aerial weed spraying.</i> The proponent advised the auditors that no request had been received to fully fund the cost difference between aerial weed spraying and a reasonable alternative weed control method.	
67A	<b>Blade Glint – Risk to Motorists</b> As part of the Construction Environmental Management Plan required under this consent, the Applicant shall include a review of the colour specifications of the turbines, to ensure turbine blades do not pose a safety risk to drivers that use the roads within the district of the project site. The review shall determine whether the colour specifications can minimise blade glint (such as use of non-reflective colour) and whether any additional measures may be required to manage occurrences of blade glint, such as public signage for road user awareness	YES	<i>Plan considered as part of the CEMP.</i> The proponent advised that no issues had been raised by motorists following commencement of operation of the wind farm.	
68	Electromagnetic Interference/Television Interference Prior to the erection of any wind turbine on the Development Site, the Applicant must advise in writing, any owner of a residential dwelling located within five kilometres of a proposed wind turbine that an assessment of potential television interference is available to them. If requested by the owner, the Applicant must:	NO	<i>Pre-operations compliance report states 25% of people within 5km took up the offer of TV interference assessment. Assessments which were completed in January/February 2011.</i> <i>Letter to residents advising of TV reception</i>	<b>Action Required:</b> Provide a copy of the reassessment of television reception to ascertain whether there has been any electromagnetic interference following the

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
	<p>(a) undertake an assessment of the existing quality of television reception; and</p> <p>(b) <b><u>reassess the electromagnetic interference to television reception during the first six months of Operation.</u></b></p> <p>Any reassessment must be conducted within three months of a request being made. Residential dwellings located on the Development Site are not included in this condition.</p>		<p><i>assessment offer 21 December 2010 sighted.</i></p> <p>The proponent provided a spreadsheet titled 'TV interference list' which was undated and purportedly outlined residents who had accepted testing and also made a request for mitigation works however no evidence was provided confirming a re-assessment had been made in accordance with Condition 68 (b).</p> <p>Further no evidence, such as signed letters, were provided to indicate residents were satisfied with the measures implemented should TV reception have been compromised.</p>	<p><i>first six months of Operation.</i></p> <p>Observation:</p> <p>It is recommended that for any future mitigation works associated with the operation of the wind farm, that the proponent seeks to have land owners acknowledge such works have been completed and which is to their satisfaction. This would enable the proponent to verify such works have been undertaken.</p>



Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
69	<p>The Applicant must undertake any Reasonable and Feasible mitigation measures, at its own expense, to rectify any television reception problems identified in the reassessment conducted under Condition No. 68 and attributable to the Development, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) improving the existing antenna system;</li> <li>(b) installing and maintaining a parasitic antenna system;</li> <li>(c) installing and maintaining an alternative television connection such as a satellite receiving antenna; and</li> <li>(d) providing a land line between the affected receiver and an antenna located in an area of favourable reception; and</li> <li>(e) implementing the mitigation measures listed in the SEE (August 2010) in section 9.3.2 of the main report.</li> </ul>	ND	Refer comments under Condition 68.	Refer Action Required and Observations under Condition 68.
70	<p>Radio Communication</p> <p>Prior to the erection of any wind turbine on the Development Site, the Applicant must advise in writing the operator(s) of any two-way, fixed radio links crossing the Development Site that, at the request of the operator, the Applicant must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) undertake an assessment of the existing quality in consultation with the operator(s); and</li> <li>(b) reassess the electromagnetic interference to radio reception during the first six months of Operation.</li> </ul> <p>Any reassessment must be conducted within three months of a request being made.</p>	YES	<p><i>Pre-operations compliance report states that NSW Police and RFS were notified. The police did not respond and RFS were to test their own link.</i></p> <p><i>Letter to Police re broadcast and radio possible interference 4 January 2011.</i></p> <p>The proponent provided a copy of an email from the Rural Fire Service dated 12 August 2011 which advised link traffic was unaffected by the turbines.</p>	
73	<p><b>Waste Management and Recycling</b></p> <p>As part of the Construction and Operation EMPs the Applicant must prepare Waste Management and Re-use Sub Plan(s). The sub plan(s) must address the management of wastes during the Construction and Operation stages respectively in accordance with the NSW Government's Waste Reduction and Purchasing Policy. The sub plan(s) must identify requirements for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the application of the waste minimisation hierarchy principles of avoid/reduce/re-use/recycle/dispose;</li> <li>(b) minimising the volume of wastewater produced and include, as a minimum, a commitment to install AAA-rated water conservation</li> </ul>	YES	<p>Included as part of the CEMP and OEMP.</p> <p>Waste records were sighted by the auditors during the inspection.</p>	

Cond. No.	Requirement (Summary) Condition/Commitment (subdivide long conditions)	Compliance (Yes/No)	Comments/Observations/ Supporting documentation	Recommendation (Action required to address non-compliances or observations)
	<p>devices in the control room/facilities building;</p> <p>(c) waste handling and storage. There shall be no on-site wastewater management system associated with the operation of the project;</p> <p>(d) disposal of wastes. Specific details must be provided for cleared vegetation, contaminated materials, glass, metals and plastics, hydrocarbons (lubricants and fuels) and sanitary wastes; and</p> <p>(e) any waste material that is unable to be re-used, re-processed or recycled, which must be disposed at a facility approved to receive that type of waste.</p>			
75	<p>If any wind turbine is not used for the generation of electricity for a continuous period of 12 months, it must be decommissioned unless otherwise agreed to by the Director General. The Applicant must keep independently verified annual records of the use of wind turbines for electricity generation. These records must be provided to the Director General upon request. The relevant wind turbine and any associated infrastructure is to be dismantled and removed from the Development Site within 18 months from the date that the wind turbine was last used to generate electricity.</p>	YES	<p><i>Operation commenced in November 2011.</i></p> <p>The project has not been operational for a twelve month period. Notwithstanding this, records of electricity generation for each turbine were sighted during the audit to indicate that no turbines had ceased operation during the period of the audit.</p>	