Appendix 2 – Aboriginal Consultation
Consultation Log
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation/Group</th>
<th>Representative Contacted</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Communication Type</th>
<th>AHMS Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office of Environment &amp; Heritage</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.3.14</td>
<td>Sent a letter seeking information on the Aboriginal stakeholders for the study area</td>
<td>Letter/E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blacktown City Council</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.3.14</td>
<td>Sent a letter seeking information on the Aboriginal stakeholders for the study area</td>
<td>Letter/E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawkesbury City Council</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.3.14</td>
<td>Sent a letter seeking information on the Aboriginal stakeholders for the study area</td>
<td>Letter/E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawkesbury-Nepean Catchment Management Authority</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.3.14</td>
<td>Sent a letter seeking information on the Aboriginal stakeholders for the study area</td>
<td>Letter/E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deerubbin LALC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.3.14</td>
<td>Sent a letter seeking information on the Aboriginal stakeholders for the study area</td>
<td>Letter/E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTSCorp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.3.14</td>
<td>Sent a letter seeking information on the Aboriginal stakeholders for the study area</td>
<td>Letter/E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawkesbury-Nepean Catchment Management Authority</td>
<td>Margaret Bottrell</td>
<td>18.3.14</td>
<td>Indicated that the CMA had no interest or information on the project</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blacktown City Council</td>
<td>Sue Galt</td>
<td>21.3.14</td>
<td>Replied with a list of Aboriginal stakeholders, including Deerubbin LALC, DCAC, DTAC, DLO, DACHA, Tocomwall and Gunjeewong Cultural Heritage Aboriginal Corporation</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTSCorp</td>
<td>George Tonna</td>
<td>19.3.14</td>
<td>Provided a letter advising that he could not release stakeholder information, but had passed my request on.</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Title Tribunal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25.3.14</td>
<td>Undertook a search of the only piece of</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation/Group</td>
<td>Representative Contacted</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Communication Type</td>
<td>AHMS Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal Community Consultation Log</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation/Group</th>
<th>Representative Contacted</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Communication Type</th>
<th>AHMS Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office of Registrar</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.3.14</td>
<td>Undertook a search of the only piece of crown land identified in the vicinity of the study area.</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OEH</td>
<td>Susan Harrison</td>
<td>24.3.14</td>
<td>Provided a list of 13 Aboriginal stakeholders requiring contact in the area.</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DACHA</td>
<td>Celestine Everingham</td>
<td>28.3.14</td>
<td>Registered interest in the project</td>
<td>Phone call</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Title Tribunal</td>
<td>Sylvia Jagtman</td>
<td>2.4.14</td>
<td>Provided search results indicating no claims in the area.</td>
<td>Letter/Email</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darug Land Observations</td>
<td>Gordon Workman</td>
<td>2.4.14</td>
<td>Registered interest in the project</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deerubbin Local Aboriginal Land Council</td>
<td>Kevin Cavanagh</td>
<td>4.4.14</td>
<td>Sent notification letter</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation</td>
<td>Leanne Watson</td>
<td>4.4.14</td>
<td>Sent notification letter</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darug Tribal Aboriginal Corporation</td>
<td>Sandra Lee</td>
<td>4.4.14</td>
<td>Sent notification letter</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunjeewong Cultural Heritage Aboriginal Corporation</td>
<td>Cherie Carroll Turise</td>
<td>4.4.14</td>
<td>Sent notification letter</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocomwall</td>
<td>Scott Franks</td>
<td>4.4.14</td>
<td>Sent notification letter</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amanda Hickey Cultural Services</td>
<td>Amanda Hickey</td>
<td>4.4.14</td>
<td>Sent notification letter</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warragil Cultural Services</td>
<td>Aaron Slater</td>
<td>4.4.14</td>
<td>Sent notification letter</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wurrumay</td>
<td>Kerrie Slater</td>
<td>4.4.14</td>
<td>Sent notification letter</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation/Group</td>
<td>Representative Contacted</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Communication Type</td>
<td>AHMS Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSB Heritage Consultants</td>
<td>Patricia Hampton</td>
<td>4.4.14</td>
<td>Sent notification letter</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darug Aboriginal Land Care</td>
<td>Des Dyer</td>
<td>4.4.14</td>
<td>Sent notification letter</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLALC</td>
<td>Steve Randall</td>
<td>4.4.14</td>
<td>Registered interest in the project</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Registrar</td>
<td>Tabatha Dantoine</td>
<td>7.4.14</td>
<td>Provided a response indicating no stakeholders in the area.</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawkesbury Gazette</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.4.14</td>
<td>Notification advert with a closing date of 1 May 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blacktown Sun</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.4.14</td>
<td>Notification advert with a closing date of 1 May 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocomwall</td>
<td>Sarah Franks</td>
<td>5.4.14</td>
<td>Registered interest in the project</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCAC</td>
<td>Leanne Watson</td>
<td>9.4.14</td>
<td>Registered interest in the project</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunjeewong Cultural Heritage Aboriginal Corporation</td>
<td>Cherie Carroll Turise</td>
<td>22.4.14</td>
<td>Registered interest in the project, and indicated that she grew up in Vineyard, and had extensive knowledge of the contemporary history of the region.</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phil Khan</td>
<td>23.4.14</td>
<td>Registered interest in the project</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tony Williams</td>
<td>24.4.14</td>
<td>Registered interest in the project</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Darren Williams</td>
<td>24.4.14</td>
<td>Registered interest in the project</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shane Williams</td>
<td>25.4.14</td>
<td>Registered interest in the project</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andrew Williams</td>
<td>25.4.14</td>
<td>Registered interest in the project</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All RAPs</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.4.14</td>
<td>Distributed an assessment methodology to each RAP for consideration and comment</td>
<td>E-mail/post/fax</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phil Khan</td>
<td>1.5.14</td>
<td>Discussions on insurances and information that had been previously sent to AHMS, but did not appear to</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation/Group</td>
<td>Representative Contacted</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Communication Type</td>
<td>AHMS Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OEH</td>
<td>Miranda Firman</td>
<td>2.5.14</td>
<td>Provided a letter outlining the list of stakeholders for the project.</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLALC</td>
<td>Steven Randall</td>
<td>2.5.14</td>
<td>Provided a letter outlining the list of stakeholders for the project.</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tony Williams</td>
<td>3.5.14</td>
<td>Provided a response to AHMS’ methodology document</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DACHA</td>
<td>Celestine Everingham</td>
<td>7.5.14</td>
<td>Provided a response to AHMS’ methodology document</td>
<td>Fax</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andrew Williams</td>
<td>11.5.14</td>
<td>Provided a response to AHMS’ methodology document</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DACHA</td>
<td>Celestine Everingham</td>
<td>28.5.2014</td>
<td>Discussed insurances. Although workers comp is passed expiry, CE followed it up and has been granted a 6 week extension. She will fax it through this week.</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams family</td>
<td>Tony, Andrew, Darren and Shane Williams</td>
<td>28.5.2014</td>
<td>Requested insurances by Thursday COB for rostering</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCAC</td>
<td>Leanne Watson</td>
<td>28.5.2014</td>
<td>Requested insurances by Thursday COB for rostering</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunjeewong</td>
<td>Cherie Turise</td>
<td>28.5.2014</td>
<td>Left message to call back about fieldwork</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phil Khan</td>
<td>28.5.2014</td>
<td>Called to discuss insurance. No answer</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phil Khan</td>
<td>28.5.14</td>
<td>Phil rang back, he will fax through insurances in the morning.</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Fenella Atkinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phil Khan</td>
<td>28.5.14</td>
<td>Phil faxed through insurances.</td>
<td>Fax</td>
<td>Fenella Atkinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tony Williams</td>
<td>28.5.14</td>
<td>Tony emailed (copying in the other three Williamses) details of policy for public liability insurance for Shane Williams’ electrical company.</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Fenella Atkinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation/Group</td>
<td>Representative Contacted</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Communication Type</td>
<td>AHMS Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Phil Khan</td>
<td>29.5.14</td>
<td>Phil called to check that insurances had come through.</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Fenella Atkinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DACHA</td>
<td>Celestine Everingham</td>
<td>29.5.14</td>
<td>Celestine faxed Certificate of Currency for Workers Compensation insurance.</td>
<td>Fax</td>
<td>Fenella Atkinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tony Williams</td>
<td>29.5.14</td>
<td>Fenella responded to Tony (copying in the other three Williamses), requesting Certificates of Currency for Public Liability insurance and Workers Compensation (or equivalent) insurance which are required of sub-contractors by AHMS.</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Fenella Atkinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tony Williams</td>
<td>30.5.14</td>
<td>Tony rang to discuss the project and the consultation process. He is a Darug person and grew up in the area, and has been involved in Aboriginal rights and cultural heritage issues since the 1960s / 1970s. He is concerned about non-Aboriginal people being involved as stakeholders and facilitating the destruction of sites. Fenella asked whether Tony would be interested in talking about the Aboriginal history of the area with AHMS, as an addition to the required consultation process, but Tony pointed out that he had concerns with information entering the public domain and being misused. Fenella outlined insurance requirements for engagement for the fieldwork. Tony will follow up with Shane and get back to us. Tony advised that Darren Williams would not participate in the fieldwork.</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Fenella Atkinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCAC</td>
<td>Leanne Watson</td>
<td>28.5.14</td>
<td>Leanne emailed certificates of currency</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation/Group</td>
<td>Representative Contacted</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Communication Type</td>
<td>AHMS Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunjeewong</td>
<td>Cherie Carroll-Turise</td>
<td>30.5.14</td>
<td>Called Cherie to request insurances, she requested I get in touch with her daughter Julie to organise them. Emailed Julie to request insurances.</td>
<td>Phone/email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunjeewong</td>
<td>Julie Schroder</td>
<td>31.5.14</td>
<td>Julie provided the bill of insurance, not Certificate of Currency</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunjeewong</td>
<td>Julie Schroder</td>
<td>2.6.2014</td>
<td>Liz emailed Julie to request certificate of currency for both public liability and workers comp. Julie replied she realised the policy was not current, and is going to chase up her parents to organise renewal, and provide certificates ASAP.</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DACHA</td>
<td>Celestine Everingham</td>
<td>2.6.2014</td>
<td>Called to confirm dates. Faxed through fieldwork info.</td>
<td>Phone/fax</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLALC</td>
<td>Steve Randall</td>
<td>2.6.2014</td>
<td>Called to confirm dates. Emailed through info. Steve needs to confirm.</td>
<td>Phone/email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCAC</td>
<td>Leanne Watson</td>
<td>2.6.2014</td>
<td>Left message for Leanne to contact Liz via mobile about fieldwork.</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLO</td>
<td>Gordon Workman</td>
<td>2.6.2014</td>
<td>Called to confirm dates. Emailed through fieldwork info.</td>
<td>Phone/email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocomwall</td>
<td>Danny Franks</td>
<td>2.6.2014</td>
<td>Called to confirm dates. Emailed through fieldwork info.</td>
<td>Phone/email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunjeewong</td>
<td>Cherie Carroll-Turise/Julie Schroder</td>
<td>2.6.2014</td>
<td>Called Cherie to confirm dates. Emailed details to Julie to pass on to Cherie.</td>
<td>Phone/email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams’</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6.2014</td>
<td>Called to discuss dates. No answer.</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Kahn</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6.2014/3.6.2014</td>
<td>Called to confirm dates. Emailed through fieldwork info. Email bounced back. Called to clarify email address, re-sent email. Phil called and confirmed he had received email.</td>
<td>Phone/email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCAC</td>
<td>Leanne Watson</td>
<td>3.6.2014</td>
<td>Called again. No answer. Emailed</td>
<td>Phone/email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation/Group</td>
<td>Representative Contacted</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Communication Type</td>
<td>AHMS Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLALC</td>
<td>Steve Randall</td>
<td>3.6.2014</td>
<td>Steve emailed to confirm dates.</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCAC</td>
<td>Leanne Watson</td>
<td>3.6.2014</td>
<td>Left another voicemail about fieldwork. Leanne replied via email to confirm dates.</td>
<td>Phone/Email</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All RAPs (on roster)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5-12.6.14</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DACHA</td>
<td>Celestine Everingham</td>
<td>21.6.2014</td>
<td>Sent summary of results of survey.</td>
<td>Email / fax</td>
<td>Liz Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation</td>
<td>Leanne Watson Justine Coplin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fenella Atkinson Michelle Lau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darug Land Observations</td>
<td>Gordon Workman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deerubbin Local Aboriginal Land Council</td>
<td>Steve Randall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunjeewong Cultural Heritage Aboriginal Corporation</td>
<td>Cherie Carroll Turrise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamilaroi Kankunjatjara Working Group</td>
<td>Phil Khan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocomwall</td>
<td>Scott Franks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation/Group</td>
<td>Representative Contacted</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Communication Type</td>
<td>AHMS Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sarah Franks</td>
<td>29.10.14</td>
<td>Provided a brief update on the project</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Danny Franks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andrew Williams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Darren Williams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shane Williams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tony Williams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All RAPs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29.10.14</td>
<td>Provided a brief update on the project</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cheryl Lagerway</td>
<td>29.10.14</td>
<td>In response to an e-mail to Gunjeewong. Rang to discuss the project, and advise she had been in the area for many years, including going to the Vineyard School. She also referenced her relatives living in a wattle and daub structure near Schofields Station</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All RAPs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.12.14</td>
<td>Distributed draft report for comment</td>
<td>Email/Post</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tony Williams</td>
<td>27.12.14</td>
<td>Provided comments on the draft report</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCAC</td>
<td>Justine Coplin</td>
<td>7.1.15</td>
<td>Provided comments on the draft report</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All RAPs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.7.15</td>
<td>Distributed the Vineyard ACHA for review and comment</td>
<td>E-mail/Post</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCAC</td>
<td>Justine Coplin</td>
<td>13.7.15</td>
<td>Provided comments on the draft report</td>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Notification
Dear Mr Williams,

Thank you for your letter dated 18/3/2014 to the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) regarding obtaining a list of the Aboriginal stakeholders that may have an interest in the assessment at Riverstone East and Vineyard (Blacktown and Hawkesbury LGA).

Before making an application for the issue of an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit, the applicant must carry out an Aboriginal community consultation process in accordance with the National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2009 and completed to the stage described in subclause 80C.

Please find attached the list of Aboriginal stakeholders known to OEH that may have an interest in the project. OEH's list of regional stakeholders is a list of groups, organisations or individuals who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to a proposal in a region. Consultation with Aboriginal people should not be confused with employment. Inclusion on the OEH’s list is not an automatic right to employment. It is the decision of a proponent on who they choose to engage to deliver services based on a range of considerations including skills, relevant experience, and WHS considerations. To be clear, the proponent is under no obligation to employ Aboriginal people registered for consultation.

Further, receipt of this information does not remove the requirement of a proponent/consultant to advertise in local print media and contact other bodies seeking interested Aboriginal parties. Consultation with Aboriginal stakeholders must be in accordance with the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010 which can be found on the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) public website by accessing the following link:


If you wish to discuss any of the above matters further please contact Miranda Firman, Aboriginal Heritage Planning Officer, on (02) 9995 5477. Please note our change of postal address.

Yours sincerely,

Susan Harrison
Senior Team Leader Planning
Greater Sydney Region
Regional Operations
Aboriginal Stakeholders that may have an interest in the Western Sydney LGA’s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deerubbin Local Aboriginal Land Council</td>
<td>Kevin Cavanagh</td>
<td>(02) 4724 5600</td>
<td>2/9 Tindale St, Penrith NSW 2750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darug Custodial Aboriginal Corporation</td>
<td>Leanne Watson</td>
<td>02 4577 5181 / 0415 770 163</td>
<td>PO Box 81, Windsor NSW 2756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darug Tribal Aboriginal Corporation</td>
<td>Sandra Lee</td>
<td>02 9622 4081</td>
<td>PO Box 441, Blacktown NSW 2148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments</td>
<td>Gordon Morton</td>
<td>02 4567 7421 or 0422 865 831</td>
<td>90 Hermitage Rd, Kurrajong Hills NSW 2758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darug Land Observations</td>
<td>Gordon Workman</td>
<td>0415 663 763 / fax 02 9831</td>
<td>PO Box 571, Plumpton, NSW 2761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Des Dyer</td>
<td>88868</td>
<td>18a Perigee Close, Doonside 2767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunjeewong Cultural Heritage Aboriginal Corporation</td>
<td>Cherie Carroll</td>
<td>(02) 6355 4110</td>
<td>1 Bellvue Place, Portland NSW, 2847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turrise</td>
<td></td>
<td>* Cherie is Ngunnawal Elder however lived in the Western Sydney area during her childhood. She recognises she is not from the area but has associations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocomwall</td>
<td>Scott Franks</td>
<td>0404 171 544</td>
<td>PO Box 76, Caringbah NSW 1495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amanda Hickey Cultural Services</td>
<td>Amanda Hickey</td>
<td>0434 480 588</td>
<td>41 Dempsey Street, Emu Heights, NSW 2750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phil Khan</td>
<td>0434 545 982</td>
<td>*Amanda is Wonnarua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warragil Cultural Services</td>
<td>Aaron Slater</td>
<td>0481 280 067</td>
<td>78 Forbes Street, Emu Plains, NSW 2750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wurrumay Consultancy</td>
<td>Kerrie Slater</td>
<td>0423 935 556</td>
<td>22 Tiffany Close, Rooty Hill NSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSB Heritage Consultants</td>
<td>Patricia Hampton</td>
<td>0424142216</td>
<td>89 Pyramid street, Emu Plains NSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>62 Ropes Crossing Boulevard, Ropes Crossing 2760</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cherie is Ngunnawal Elder however lived in the Western Sydney area during her childhood. She recognises she is not from the area but has associations.
Dear Mr Williams

Native Title Search Results for Blacktown City Council Local Government Area

Thank you for your search request received on 25 March 2014 in relation to the above area.

Search Results
The results provided are based on the information you supplied and are derived from a search of the following Tribunal databases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Register Type</th>
<th>NNTT Reference Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schedule of Applications (unregistered claimant applications)</td>
<td>Nil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register of Native Title Claims</td>
<td>Nil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Native Title Register</td>
<td>Nil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register of Indigenous Land Use Agreements</td>
<td>Nil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notified Indigenous Land Use Agreements</td>
<td>Nil.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the time this search was carried out, there were no relevant entries in the above databases.

Please note: There may be a delay between a native title determination application being lodged in the Federal Court and its transfer to the Tribunal. As a result, some native title determination applications recently filed with the Federal Court may not appear on the Tribunal’s databases.

Tribunal accepts no liability for reliance placed on enclosed information
The enclosed information has been provided in good faith. Use of this information is at your sole risk. The National Native Title Tribunal makes no representation, either express or implied, as to...
the accuracy or suitability of the information enclosed for any particular purpose and accepts no liability for use of the information or reliance placed on it.

If you have any further queries, please feel free to contact me via the details listed below.

Yours sincerely

Sylvia Jagtman

SENIOR CASE MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT
National Native Title Tribunal | Sydney Office, Operations East
Telephone (02) 9227 4013 | Facsimile (02) 9227 4030 | Email sylvia.jagtman@nntt.gov.au
Freecall 1800 640 501 | www.nntt.gov.au

Shared country, shared future.
Searching the NNTT Registers in New South Wales

Search service
On request the National Native Title Tribunal may search its public registers for you. A search may assist you in finding out whether any native title applications (claims), determinations or agreements exist over a particular area of land or water.

In New South Wales native title cannot exist on privately owned land including family homes or farms.

What information can a search provide?
A search can confirm whether any applications, agreements or determinations are registered in a local government area. Relevant information, including register extracts and application summaries, will be provided.

In NSW because we cannot search the registers in relation to individual parcels of land we search by local government area.

Most native title applications do not identify each parcel of land claimed. They have an external boundary and then identify the areas not claimed within the boundary by reference to types of land tenure e.g., freehold, agricultural leasehold, public works.

What if the search shows no current applications?
If there is no application covering the local government area this only indicates that at the time of the search either the Federal Court had not received any claims in relation to the local government area or the Tribunal had not yet been notified of any new native title claims.

It does not mean that native title does not exist in the area.

Native title may exist over an area of land or waters whether or not a claim for native title has been made.

Where the information is found
The information you are seeking is held in three registers and on an applications database.

National Native Title Register
The National Native Title Register contains determinations of native title by the High Court, Federal Court and other courts.

Register of Native Title Claims
The Register of Native Title Claims contains applications for native title that have passed a registration test.

Registered claims attract rights, including the right to negotiate about some types of proposed developments.

Register of Indigenous Land Use Agreements
The Register of Indigenous Land Use Agreements contains agreements made with people who hold or assert native title in an area.

The register identifies development activities that have been agreed by the parties.

Schedule of Native Title Applications
The Schedule of Native Title Applications contains a description of the location, content and status of a native title claim.

This information may be different to the information on the Register of Native Title Claims, e.g., because an amendment has not yet been tested.

How do I request a native title search?

Email to: NSWEnquiries@nntt.gov.au
Post to: GPO Box 9973 Sydney NSW 2001
For additional enquiries: 02 9227 4000
Western Sydney Aboriginal Stakeholder Groups

Deerubbin Local Aboriginal Land Council
Level 2-9 Tindale Street
Penrith NSW 2750
Contact: Kevin Cavanagh
Phone: 747-245600

Email: staff@deerubbin.org.au
Website: www.deerubbin.org.au

Ms Leanne Wright
Chairperson
Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation
PO Box 81
WINDSOR NSW 2756

Phone: (02) 4577 5181
Mobile: 0415 770 163
Fax: (02) 4577 5098

Sandra Lee
Chairperson
Darug Tribal Aboriginal Corporation
PO Box 441
BLACKTOWN NSW 2148

Mr Gordon Morton
Chairperson
Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments
90 Hermitage Road
KURRAJONG HILLS NSW 2758

Contact 1: Gordon Morton
Phone: (02) 9625 0005
Fax: (02) 4567 7421

Contact 2: Celestine Everingham
Phone/Fax: (02) 4567 7421
Mobile: 0432 528 896

Mr Scott Franks
Yarrawalk/Tocomwall
PO Box 76
CARINGBAH NSW 2229

Email: yarrawalk@tpg.com.au

Mr Gordon Workman
Darug Land Observations
PO Box 571
PLUMPTON NSW 2761

Mr Des Dyer
Darug Aboriginal Land Care
18A Perigee Close
DOONSDIE NSW 2767

Mobile: 0408 360 814

Ms Cherie Carroll Turrise
Gunjeewong Cultural Heritage Aboriginal Corporation
1 Bellevue Place
19 March 2014 ref: OE&H :19-3-14/1

AHMS
2/729 Elizabeth Street
Waterloo NSW 2017

Dear Sir/ Madam

Request for information on Aboriginal Stakeholders for an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment at Riverstone East and Vineyard

I refer to your letter on the 18 March 2014 concerning the above.

I advise that NTSCORP’s privacy guidelines restrict us from providing proponents with contact details of traditional owners. However, we will forward your correspondence to any individuals, groups and organisations, whom NTSCORP is aware assert traditional interests within, or hold cultural knowledge about the relevant area.

Please be aware that NTSCORP cannot make a guarantee or undertaking that the recipients of our correspondence represent the entirety of traditional owners for the relevant area.

To assist proponents in following the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements, recipients of our correspondence will be invited to register their interest in the project directly with you ASAP.

Yours faithfully

George Tonna
Land & Notifications Officer
Strategic Development Team
Alan Williams
AHMS
2/729 Elizabeth Street
WATERLOO NSW 2017

1 April 2014

Dear Alan

Request - Search for Aboriginal Land Claim

I refer to your letter dated 25 March 2014 to search the Register of Aboriginal Land Claims database in relation to land described by you as:

Lot: 1 & 2 DP 1042607

Parish: Gidley
County: Cumberland

I have searched the Register of Aboriginal Land Claims database and the subject land described by you does not appear on the Register as being affected by an Aboriginal Land Claim in pursuance to sections 36 or 37 of the Aboriginal Land Rights Act 1983.

Regards

Tabatha Dantoine
Administrative Officer
Office of the Registrar, Aboriginal Land Rights Act 1983 (NSW)

Please Note: Search Requests should not be made over privately owned land. Crown Land is the only land in NSW that is likely to be affected by an Aboriginal Land Claim under the Aboriginal Land Rights Act. It is not necessary to make a search over privately owned – even if an Aboriginal Land Claim has been made over privately owned land it would be refused as soon as this is known.
Hi Alan

Under the act that we work, I am not allowed to pass on the information that you requested in your letter dated 18 March 2014 Re: Request for Information on Aboriginal Stakeholders for an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment at Riverstone East and Vineyard

The Greater Sydney Local Land Services (former Catchment Management Authority) has no interest in this project, and will pass your letters on to the members of our Advisory Committee for their information. If they comment on this, it is an individual person and not a representative of the Greater Sydney Local Land Services.

Regards

Margaret Bottrell

On 18 March 2014 11:07, Alan Williams <AWilliams@ahms.com.au> wrote:

Dear Margaret,

AHMS is undertaking an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment of two Northwest Growth Centre precincts, Riverstone East and Vineyard. As part of this assessment, we are required to contact the CMA to identify potential Aboriginal stakeholders in the area, with whom we should consult on the project. Can you please provide such information as soon as possible – I have attached the required search form request.

Best wishes

Al

***** Please note we have moved office *****

We are now situated at 2/729 Elizabeth St, Waterloo NSW 2017
See my latest research article on the population history of Australia at:
http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/280/1761/20130486.short?rss=1

If this email has been sent to you in error please immediately notify the sender and destroy any electronic and paper copies of the message and any attachments. AHMS uses virus scanning software but we can neither guarantee, nor do we represent that all outgoing electronic communications and documents are free of viruses and file corruption. Opinions expressed in this email may be those of the sender. They do not represent AHMS unless this is specifically stated as such.
Notification
3 April 2014

«Organisation»

«AddressBlock»

Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts. Notification of Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment, Project Information and Invitation to Register an Interest.

«GreetingLine»

In accordance with the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage’s (OEH) Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010 (DECCW 2010), I am writing to notify you that we have been engaged by NSW Planning & Infrastructure to undertake an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) in advance of proposed re-zoning of the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts.

The land in question is divided into two study areas:

- Riverstone East Precinct, Blacktown Local Government Area: Riverstone East is some 650 hectares, and is bounded by Schofields Road to the south, Windsor Road to the north east and First Ponds creek to the west (Figure 1);

- Vineyard Precinct, Hawkesbury Local Government Area: Vineyard is some 500 hectares and is bounded by Commercial Road to the north, Boundary Road to the east and Windsor Road to the south (Figure 2).

AHMS will be undertaking the assessment in accordance with the relevant OEH guidelines. An important part of the assessment will be Aboriginal community consultation that aims to identify cultural values and places of importance to the Aboriginal community within the subject area. The purpose of the consultation is to assist the proponent in preparing the ACHA for the study area, and if necessary to assist OEH in considering the assessment and any AHIP application.

This letter has been prepared by Archaeological & Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd (AHMS) for the proponent, NSW Planning & Infrastructure. Contact details are provided below in Table 1:
Table 1 - Contact details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NSW Planning &amp; Infrastructure</th>
<th>Archaeological &amp; Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPO Box 39</td>
<td>2/729 Elizabeth Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney, NSW, 2001</td>
<td>Waterloo NSW 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Person: Evelyn Ivinson Contact Person: Alan Williams
T. (02) 9860 1525 T. 02 9555 4000
E: evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au F. 02 9555 7005

We are inviting registrations from Aboriginal individuals and/or organisations, who may hold cultural knowledge for the area relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places and who wish to be involved in the community consultation process. If you or your organisation are interested in being part of the consultation process, please provide registration of interest to Alan Williams (details listed above in Table 1).

Registrations are requested by 18 April 2014.

To assist us with communicating project information effectively could you please include the following information in your registration of interest:

1. A clear identification of the organisation registering an interest in the project;
2. Your preferred method of communication with AHMS and the proponent during consultation for this project, including a nominated contact person and contact details;
3. Comment on the level of consultation/project involvement you require (Do you wish to attend any meetings? Do you wish to be involved in any fieldwork? Do you simply want a copy of the final report?);
4. If you wish to be involved in any meetings or fieldwork, please ensure we have current copies of your public liability, workers compensation and professional indemnity (if available) insurances as soon as possible.

As part of the consultation process we are obliged to provide the contact details of organisations and individuals who register an interest to the Office of Environment and Heritage and the Local Aboriginal Land Council, unless instructed otherwise. Please advise us if you do not wish this to occur.

Please be aware that AHMS does not make determinations about who may be engaged to take part in fieldwork and that registration of interest will not necessarily lead to participation in fieldwork. OEH guidelines require that such decisions are made by the proponent. If you wish to be involved in any fieldwork, please provide information on hourly and/or daily rates and current copies of your public liability, workers compensation and professional indemnity (if available) insurances as soon as possible. It would also be useful to provide further information on proposed individual staff and an assurance of their:

1. Endorsement by a relevant portion of Aboriginal community with whom they are able to confer regarding the outcome of the work and cultural significance;
2. Ability and willingness to provide input that can be incorporated into written assessment of cultural values within the subject area;
3. Demonstrated experience in archaeological survey and/or excavation and that they are fit for work that involves physical labour.

Following the registration process, Registered Aboriginal Parties will be sent a draft assessment methodology for review, including information on any proposed survey/test excavation within the subject
area and the stages of consultation process in which it will be possible to provide input (e.g. document review, meetings, etc).

Please don’t hesitate to contact me on (02) 9555 4000 if you have any queries or concerns.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Williams MAACAI
Manager NSW - Aboriginal Heritage
Figure 1 Aerial photograph of Riverstone East Precinct
Figure 2 Aerial photograph of Vineyard Precinct
Sunday, East Kurrajong area.
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If you wish to work in the football industry, as a team player and work with like-minded individuals, then this opportunity is for you.

For more information or to apply, please contact Mr A. D. Cheney, Manager, Rugby Park, on 9555 4000. Applications close Monday 28 April 2014.
Hi Alan,

I refer to your letter of 3 April 2014 for the abovementioned location

Deerubbin LALC would like to formally register it’s participation in this project. The contact person is myself with my details below

Our Council would like to be involved in field work and to receive a copy of the final report, minus meetings

Also attached is our insurances

Deerubbin LALC fees for assessment works is $800.00 per day

Steve

Steve Randall
Deerubbin Local Aboriginal Land Council
Level 1, Suite 3, 291-295 High Street PENRITH, NSW, 2570
PO Box 40 PENRITH 2751
Ph: (02) 4724 5600 | Fax: (02) 4722 9713 M: 0417 219 174
E: SRandall@deerubbin.org.au | Website www.deerubbin.org.au

Security Statement

The information transmitted in this message and attachments, (if any), is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed. The message may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information, by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and delete this email and associated material from any computer. The intended recipient of this email may only use, reproduce, disclose or distribute the information contained in this email and any attached files, with the permission of Deerubbin Local Aboriginal Land Council.

Disclaimer:

This message may contain legally privileged or confidential information and is intended for the addressed recipient only. If you receive this communication in error, please notify Deerubbin Local Aboriginal Land Council immediately and then destroy this message. The views expressed in this message are those of the individual only and do not reflect the views of Deerubbin Local Aboriginal Land Council.
Attention: Alan Williams.

Subject: Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts. Notification of Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment, Registration of interest.

Dear Alan,

Our group is a non-profit organisation that has been active for over forty years in Western Sydney, we are a Darug community group with over three hundred members. The main aim in our constitution is the care of Darug sites, places, wildlife and to promote our culture and provide education on the Darug history.

The Riverstone East and Vineyard precinct are areas that our group has a vast knowledge of, we have worked and lived in for many years, this area is significant to the Darug people due to the connection of sites and the continued occupation. Our group has been involved in all previous assessments and works in this area as a traditional owner Darug group for the past 40 plus years.

Therefore we would like to register our interest for full consultation and involvement in these two study areas.

Please contact us with all further enquiries on the above contacts.

Regards

Leanne Watson
Alan Williams
AHMS

Notification and Registration of ALL Aboriginal Interests
Re: Riverstone East and Vineyard

Please be advised that D.L.O is seeking to be involved in any and all consultation meetings and field work. This office specializes in Aboriginal and community consultation. An has a membership that comprises of Traditional owners from the area in question those retain strong story and song lines and oral history and continued contact. We would also like to state that we do not except or support any person or organization that are NOT from the DARUG Nation that comments regarding the said area. Please also be advised that this aboriginal Organization does not do volunteer work or attend unpaid meetings. I hope that you advise your client of this so that, This Group will not be discriminated against and refused paid field work.

All Correspondence should be emailed to the following
gordow51@bigpond.net.au

Yours faithfully
Uncle
Gordon Workman
Darug Elder

Sites Officer
08 April 2014

Alan Williams
AHMS
2/729 Elizabeth Street
WATERLOO NSW 2017
Via email: AWilliams@ahms.com.au

Dear Alan,

**RE: Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment at Riverstone East & Vineyard Precincts, registration of interest**

Tocomwall is seeking *primary involvement* in all consultation meetings and field work for the above mentioned project.

Tocomwall represents traditional owners from this area and retains local and oral history on behalf of its membership. We do not accept or support any person or organisation that comments regarding the said area unless confirmed in writing by myself. We have no objection to our information being provided to the Office of Environment and Heritage and the Local Aboriginal Land Council.

Tocomwall is able to assist with input that can be incorporated into a written assessment of cultural values of the area. We are also able to provide fit staff to assist with work that may involve physical labour. We can provide copies of relevant certificates of currency for business insurances on request.

Please also be advised that this Aboriginal organisation does not do volunteer work or attend unpaid meetings.

All correspondence should be emailed to scott@tocomwall.com.au and sarah@tocomwall.com.au or to the above postal address.

Kindly contact our office if you require any further information.

Yours faithfully

Scott Franks
Native Title & Environmental Services
Hi Alan”

I would like to register my interest in the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts.

Andrew Williams
andle81@tpg.com.au
DEAR ALAN WILLIAMS I WOULD LIKE TO
REGISTER RIVERSTONE EAST VINEYARDS RECENTLY.
I WAS BORN AND BRED IN VINEYARDS. RIVERSTONE
WATER HAMPTONS RD BETHALL ST ALL MY WEELD AND
AUNTS OWED LAND AND VINEYARDS WE LIVED IN TIN SHACKS
WITH DIRT FLOORS WE HAD KEROSENE LAMPS. I LOVED
LIVING THERE. WE PLAYED ROUNDERS ON HAMPTONS
SWAY IN OLM, MEATWORKS. PROCTOR RIVER MEET
WORKS. WITH MY COUSINS THE CAGGILAS. FREEMAN
PHILLIPS, WE ALL ATTENDED VINEYARDS SCHOOL AND
RIVET HIGH, THEY WERE THE HAPPIEST DAYS OF MY
CLOTHE LIFE. I KNOW EVERY ROAD AND TRACK.

GROUPING CULTURAL HERITAGE ABORIGINAL CORPORATION
POLICY NUMBER 02-400-0582311

TYPE POLICY COMMUNITY SERVICE ORGANISATION

ANSUAR INSURANCE 20, 44 MARKET ST
SYDNEY NSW 2000
PHONE 02 93245599

ADDRESS (MINED) 1BELLEVUE PLACE PORTLAND NSW 2847
EMAIL JULIESCHROEDER5@LIVE.COM.AU
PHONE 0437920198

I HOPE TO MEET YOU ON MY
OLD STOMING GROUNDS VINEYARDS RIVERSTONE.

IANE CARROLL, LURRIE
DIRECTOR

PLEASE CONTACT MY DAUGHTER JULIESCHROEDER5@LIVE.COM.AU

I NEED A COPY OF INCREASE OR INSURANCE PEOPLE THEY WILL GIVE YOU
COPY OF INSURANCE 0417 445655
DEAR FENNELL, Today is 10th June 2014

Just received your letter, as I was born in Hamilton Rd, Vineyards, lived in Logan
My cousins and I lived in Vineyards River area. My uncles and aunts, Grand Parents all
owned Frankland Vineyards, loved the Frankland Vineyards Public School, Riverstone High
School I still know some of the people that still live there. We are insured with ANSVAR
Insurance if you wish a copy please contact my daughter Julie Schroeder 0410 74 87 65.

Sincerely,
Dee Dee

MOBILE 0410 93 44 88

Julie Schroeder MOBILE 0410 74 87 65
I, Cherie Carroll Turrise, accept the conditions for work with AHMS Pty Ltd, as set out in this letter.

Signed: 

Name: (Please print) Cherie Carroll Turrise

Date: 10/6/2014

Fenella Atkinson
Senior Heritage Consultant
AHMS Pty Ltd
4 June 2014
Hi Alan,
I would like to register my interest in the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts.
Regards
Darren Williams

Sent from my iPad
Hi Alan”

I would like to register my interest in the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Princts.
Hi Alan”

I would like to register my interest in the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts.
Kind regards,
Shane Williams
5 Hillpine Place Terrey hills 2084
0405494994
Presentation of Information and Assessment Methodology
1 May 2014

Scott Franks
Tocomwall
PO Box 76
Caringbah NSW 1495

Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts: Project Information and Proposed Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Methodology

Dear Scott,

Thank you for your registration of interest in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment for the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts (Figure 1). Your interest in the project has been formally registered in accordance with the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010.

The subject areas comprise:

- Riverstone East Precinct, Blacktown Local Government Area: Riverstone East is some 650 hectares, and is bounded by Schofields Road to the south, Windsor Road to the north east and First Ponds creek to the west;

- Vineyard Precinct, Hawkesbury Local Government Area: Vineyard is some 500 hectares and is bounded by Commercial Road to the north, Boundary Road to the east and Windsor Road to the south.

AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the areas, and that the results of the ACHA will assist with the precinct planning process.

Enclosed is the proposed methodology for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment which includes an archaeological survey. I would like to invite you to review the methodology and provide comment. Can you please provide any comments you may have by (28 May 2014).

Thanks again for registering your interest and participation in this project. I look forward to receiving your response to the proposed methodology. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me on (02) 9555 4000.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Williams MAACAI

Manager NSW - Aboriginal Heritage
**Contact Details**

This letter has been prepared by Archaeological & Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd (AHMS) for the proponent, Department of Planning & Environment:

**Table 1 - Contact Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proponent</th>
<th>Archaeological Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSW Planning &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>Archaeological &amp; Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPO Box 39</td>
<td>2/729 Elizabeth Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney, NSW, 2001</td>
<td>Waterloo NSW 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Person: Evelyn Ivinson</td>
<td>Contact Person: Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. (02) 9860 1525</td>
<td>T. 02 9555 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. 02 9555 7005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au">evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:awilliams@ahms.com.au">awilliams@ahms.com.au</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Background**

The subject area comprises the Vineyard and Riverstone East Precincts. AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the subject area to allow for future urban development. THE ACHA will assist in this process by identifying archaeologically and culturally sensitive areas within the growth precincts.

AHMS will be undertaking the assessment in accordance with the relevant OEH (now part of NSW Department of Planning and Environment) guidelines. An important part of the assessment will be Aboriginal community consultation that aims to identify cultural values and places of importance to the Aboriginal community within the subject area.

A review of the AHIMS database has indicated that there are:

- 4 registered sites within the Vineyard Precinct;
- 9 registered sites within the Riverstone East Precinct.

As the proposed works may have the potential to impact on Aboriginal cultural heritage in the future, the Department of Planning & Environment have engaged AHMS, to undertake an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) of the study area in accordance with OEH guidelines. The ACHA will assess the potential impact of any proposed future development, and will provide the necessary documentation to apply for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP), or Permits, should one be required.
Proposed Assessment Methodology

AHMS proposes to develop an ACHA in accordance with the latest OEH guidelines for the proposed development, being:

- *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW* (OEH 2011);
- *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW 2010); and
- *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW 2010).

Development of the ACHA will include the following tasks:

- Aboriginal community consultation;
- Archaeological survey (further detail is provided below). This component would be undertaken in conjunction with representatives of the Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) based on selective commercial engagements determined by the client;
- Preparation of the ACHA, which incorporates Aboriginal consultation and any cultural information provided, outlines the findings of the archaeological survey, assesses potential impacts to Aboriginal heritage, and makes recommendations on any Aboriginal heritage sites and/or objects that may be present within the proposed subject area;
- If required, prepare an application for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) or Permits to allow the proposed activity and/or additional mitigation measures to be conducted;
- If sites are identified, submit Site Cards and Site Impact Recording Forms to OEH; and
- If required, develop a Care and Control agreement for recovered artefacts and/or submit a site update card to OEH upon reburial of artefacts.

Archaeological Survey Methodology

We propose to undertake an archaeological survey of the subject area utilising a stratified sampling methodology. Methods will follow the *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW* (2010) and aim to identify any visible Aboriginal objects (material traces and evidence of Aboriginal land); as well as identify those areas where it can be inferred that, although not visible, material traces or evidence of Aboriginal land use have a likelihood of being present under the ground surface (potential archaeological deposits).

The survey strategy will utilise the following requirements of the Code and include:

1. Inspection of a sample of the landforms that will potentially be impacted;
2. Place a proportional emphasis on those landforms deemed to have archaeological potential;
3. Re-inspection of the GPS location of any previously recorded Aboriginal sites, in order to provide information on their current extent and significance;
4. Pedestrian survey of pre-determined transects;
5. Taking representative photographs of survey units and landforms where informative;
6. Recording landform and general soil information for each survey unit;
7. Recording the land surface and vegetation conditions encountered during the survey, accounting as appropriate for things like vegetation, rock outcrops, coarse fragments, etc.) and how these impact on the visibility of objects;
8. Recording any Aboriginal objects observed during the survey; and
9. Recording of spatial information suitable for mapping according to Code requirements and the calculation of survey coverage.

The archaeological survey is designed to sample the precincts in a systematic manner by targeting areas of ground surface visibility and including a representative sample of all landforms. Any areas of ground exposure will be examined for archaeological evidence such as stone artefacts, charcoal and shell. Ground surfaces and cut and fill features will also be examined to determine the degree of soil disturbance, erosion and potential for subsurface archaeological deposits.

The Archaeological survey will involve a five stage approach:

**Stage 1** – In conjunction with the proponent, AHMS will seek contact with the landowners to arrange a date for the archaeological survey of each property to be conducted. AHMS will also seek advice from the landowners on access issues and discuss any stipulated requirements.

**Stage 2** - An analysis of topographic maps and aerial photographs will be undertaken prior to the survey to identify landforms across the subject area and to identify areas of ground surface exposure in the form of tracks, unsealed roads, dams, cuttings and areas of ground exposure. Those properties that meet these requirements will then be targeted during the survey because they provide an opportunity to identify surface artefact scatters and to investigate exposed soil profiles. Properties that have been subject to extensive ground disturbance will be neglected in favour of undisturbed properties with high ground surface visibility in order to maximise the chance of identifying surface sites and potential archaeological deposits. The properties selected for survey will also reflect the range of landforms present within the subject areas.

**Stage 3** - The first step when entering each property is to familiarize ourselves with the landscape and target areas of ground surface visibility.

**Stage 4** - Following the initial scoping work, ground inspection will be conducted on foot in survey transects. Areas of ground surface visibility will be surveyed in their entirety. We propose to traverse approximately 70km in survey transects across both precincts.

**Stage 5** – Any surface artefact scatters found during the survey will be recorded in detail using a pro-forma developed for field recording. The location and extent of each surface site would be recorded with a GPS. Field notes will be made and photographs taken to document landscape configuration, soil profiles, soil disturbance, ground visibility and vegetation types. Previously recorded sites will also be assessed in order to provide information on their current extent and significance.

The *OEH Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects* in New South Wales requires that when a desktop assessment and archaeological survey confirm the presence or likelihood of Aboriginal objects that will be harmed by a proposed development, further investigation is required.

However, if the results of the desktop research and archaeological survey indicate that there is a low likelihood for sub-surface Aboriginal objects with potential conservation value to be present within the study area and high levels of past disturbance have most likely removed or disturbed any Aboriginal sites or objects, then further archaeological investigation (in the form
of sub-surface testing) should not be considered warranted prior to the proponent undertaking an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP).

**Aboriginal Consultation**

AHMS has recently begun the formal consultation process in accordance with OEH’s *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW, 2010). The project currently has the following Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs):

- Deerubbin LALC;
- Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments;
- Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation;
- Darug Land Observations;
- Tocomwall;
- Phil Kahn;
- Tony Williams;
- Shane Williams;
- Darren Williams; and
- Andrew Williams.

Please note that unless otherwise advised, we are required to send details of all RAPs to OEH and Local Aboriginal Land Council as part of the consultation procedure.

**Timeframes**

AHMS proposes the following indicative timeframes for the project:

- Distribution of this document to the RAPs: 1 May 2014.
- End of review period for the proposed methodology: 28 May 2014.
- Field Survey: eight days to be undertaken in early June 2014.

**Information Sought**

AHMS would appreciate your review of the above methodology proposed for the investigation and assessment of the project.

In returning your answers, please include the following where appropriate:

- Any protocols that you would like adopted during the project;
• Identification of any Aboriginal objects of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Identification of any places of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Guidance on the protocols, sensitivity, use and/or distribution of any cultural information that you provide AHMS;

• Whether you require any further information prior to AHMS proceeding with the project; and

• Any initial thoughts on the potential long term storage and/or management of the Aboriginal objects that may be recovered from the test excavations if they ensue.

Please ensure AHMS is also provided with your nominated individual’s contact information including phone number, fax number, postal address and e-mail address (if available).

If you wish to be considered for participation in any fieldwork, please also provide information on the following:

• Current certificates of currency for relevant insurances;

• Information on hourly or daily rates;

• Information that may demonstrate experience in archaeological survey/excavation;

• An assurance that any proposed staff are ‘fit for work’ to undertake the physical labour of archaeological survey/excavation.

• An assurance that any proposed site staff are endorsed by a relevant section of the Aboriginal community and are able to confer and/or report back to them on project outcomes.

We also direct you to AHMS’ policy and procedures on Aboriginal engagement, which will be provided with this document.

It is important that you understand that AHMS will compile and forward information for review by the proponent, but we do not decide who will be involved in any paid capacity.

If you like to arrange for any elders or other community members to be able to visit the site during the work, please let us know.
References
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**Stage 3** - The first step when entering each property is to familiarize ourselves with the landscape and target areas of ground surface visibility.

**Stage 4** - Following the initial scoping work, ground inspection will be conducted on foot in survey transects. Areas of ground surface visibility will be surveyed in their entirety. We propose to traverse approximately 70km in survey transects across both precincts.

**Stage 5** – Any surface artefact scatters found during the survey will be recorded in detail using a pro-forma developed for field recording. The location and extent of each surface site would be recorded with a GPS. Field notes will be made and photographs taken to document landscape configuration, soil profiles, soil disturbance, ground visibility and vegetation types. Previously recorded sites will also be assessed in order to provide information on their current extent and significance.

The *OEH Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects* in New South Wales requires that when a desktop assessment and archaeological survey confirm the presence or likelihood of Aboriginal objects that will be harmed by a proposed development, further investigation is required.

However, if the results of the desktop research and archaeological survey indicate that there is a low likelihood for sub-surface Aboriginal objects with potential conservation value to be present within the study area and high levels of past disturbance have most likely removed or disturbed any Aboriginal sites or objects, then further archaeological investigation (in the form
of sub-surface testing) should not be considered warranted prior to the proponent undertaking an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP).

**Aboriginal Consultation**

AHMS has recently begun the formal consultation process in accordance with OEH’s *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW, 2010). The project currently has the following Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs):

- Deerubbin LALC;
- Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments;
- Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation;
- Darug Land Observations;
- Tocomwall;
- Phil Kahn;
- Tony Williams;
- Shane Williams;
- Darren Williams; and
- Andrew Williams.

Please note that unless otherwise advised, we are required to send details of all RAPs to OEH and Local Aboriginal Land Council as part of the consultation procedure.

**Timeframes**

AHMS proposes the following indicative timeframes for the project:

- Distribution of this document to the RAPs: 1 May 2014.
- End of review period for the proposed methodology: 28 May 2014.
- Field Survey: eight days to be undertaken in early June 2014.

**Information Sought**

AHMS would appreciate your review of the above methodology proposed for the investigation and assessment of the project.

In returning your answers, please include the following where appropriate:

- Any protocols that you would like adopted during the project;
• Identification of any Aboriginal objects of cultural significance and/or importance that you
are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the
project;

• Identification of any places of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware
of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Guidance on the protocols, sensitivity, use and/or distribution of any cultural information
that you provide AHMS;

• Whether you require any further information prior to AHMS proceeding with the project;
and

• Any initial thoughts on the potential long term storage and/or management of the
Aboriginal objects that may be recovered from the test excavations if they ensue.

Please ensure AHMS is also provided with your nominated individual’s contact information
including phone number, fax number, postal address and e-mail address (if available).

If you wish to be considered for participation in any fieldwork, please also provide information
on the following:

• Current certificates of currency for relevant insurances;

• Information on hourly or daily rates;

• Information that may demonstrate experience in archaeological survey/excavation;

• An assurance that any proposed staff are ‘fit for work’ to undertake the physical labour of
archaeological survey/excavation.

• An assurance that any proposed site staff are endorsed by a relevant section of the
Aboriginal community and are able to confer and/or report back to them on project
outcomes.

We also direct you to AHMS’ policy and procedures on Aboriginal engagement, which will be
provided with this document.

It is important that you understand that AHMS will compile and forward information for review
by the proponent, but we do not decide who will be involved in any paid capacity.

If you like to arrange for any elders or other community members to be able to visit the site
during the work, please let us know.
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Figure 1 - The location and extent of the study area showing Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts
1 May 2014

Steven Randall
Deerubbin LALC
271 Beames Avenue
Penrith NSW 2751

Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts: Project Information and Proposed Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Methodology

Dear Steven,

Thank you for your registration of interest in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment for the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts (Figure 1). Your interest in the project has been formally registered in accordance with the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010.

The subject areas comprise:

- Riverstone East Precinct, Blacktown Local Government Area: Riverstone East is some 650 hectares, and is bounded by Schofields Road to the south, Windsor Road to the north east and First Ponds creek to the west;

- Vineyard Precinct, Hawkesbury Local Government Area: Vineyard is some 500 hectares and is bounded by Commercial Road to the north, Boundary Road to the east and Windsor Road to the south.

AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the areas, and that the results of the ACHA will assist with the precinct planning process.

Enclosed is the proposed methodology for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment which includes an archaeological survey. I would like to invite you to review the methodology and provide comment. Can you please provide any comments you may have by (28 May 2014).

Thanks again for registering your interest and participation in this project. I look forward to receiving your response to the proposed methodology. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me on (02) 9555 4000.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Williams MAACAI
Manager NSW - Aboriginal Heritage
Contact Details

This letter has been prepared by Archaeological & Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd (AHMS) for the proponent, Department of Planning & Environment:

Table 1 - Contact Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proponent</th>
<th>Archaeological Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSW Planning &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>Archaeological &amp; Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPO Box 39</td>
<td>2/729 Elizabeth Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney, NSW, 2001</td>
<td>Waterloo NSW 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Person: Evelyn Ivinson</td>
<td>Contact Person: Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. (02) 9860 1525</td>
<td>T. 02 9555 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. 02 9555 7005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au">evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au</a></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:awilliams@ahms.com.au">awilliams@ahms.com.au</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

The subject area comprises the Vineyard and Riverstone East Precincts. AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the subject area to allow for future urban development. THE ACHA will assist in this process by identifying archaeologically and culturally sensitive areas within the growth precincts.

AHMS will be undertaking the assessment in accordance with the relevant OEH (now part of NSW Department of Planning and Environment) guidelines. An important part of the assessment will be Aboriginal community consultation that aims to identify cultural values and places of importance to the Aboriginal community within the subject area.

A review of the AHIMS database has indicated that there are:

- 4 registered sites within the Vineyard Precinct;
- 9 registered sites within the Riverstone East Precinct.

As the proposed works may have the potential to impact on Aboriginal cultural heritage in the future, the Department of Planning & Environment have engaged AHMS, to undertake an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) of the study area in accordance with OEH guidelines. The ACHA will assess the potential impact of any proposed future development, and will provide the necessary documentation to apply for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP), or Permits, should one be required.
**Proposed Assessment Methodology**

AHMS proposes to develop an ACHA in accordance with the latest OEH guidelines for the proposed development, being:

- *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW* (OEH 2011);
- *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW 2010); and
- *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW 2010).

Development of the ACHA will include the following tasks:

- Aboriginal community consultation;
- Archaeological survey (further detail is provided below). This component would be undertaken in conjunction with representatives of the Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) based on selective commercial engagements determined by the client;
- Preparation of the ACHA, which incorporates Aboriginal consultation and any cultural information provided, outlines the findings of the archaeological survey, assesses potential impacts to Aboriginal heritage, and makes recommendations on any Aboriginal heritage sites and/or objects that may be present within the proposed subject area;
- If required, prepare an application for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) or Permits to allow the proposed activity and/or additional mitigation measures to be conducted;
- If sites are identified, submit Site Cards and Site Impact Recording Forms to OEH; and
- If required, develop a Care and Control agreement for recovered artefacts and/or submit a site update card to OEH upon reburial of artefacts.

**Archaeological Survey Methodology**

We propose to undertake an archaeological survey of the subject area utilising a stratified sampling methodology. Methods will follow the *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW* (2010) and aim to identify any visible Aboriginal objects (material traces and evidence of Aboriginal land); as well as identify those areas where it can be inferred that, although not visible, material traces or evidence of Aboriginal land use have a likelihood of being present under the ground surface (potential archaeological deposits).

The survey strategy will utilise the following requirements of the Code and include:

1. Inspection of a sample of the landforms that will potentially be impacted;
2. Place a proportional emphasis on those landforms deemed to have archaeological potential;
3. Re-inspection of the GPS location of any previously recorded Aboriginal sites, in order to provide information on their current extent and significance;
4. Pedestrian survey of pre-determined transects;
5. Taking representative photographs of survey units and landforms where informative;
6. Recording landform and general soil information for each survey unit;
7. Recording the land surface and vegetation conditions encountered during the survey, accounting as appropriate for things like vegetation, rock outcrops, coarse fragments, etc.) and how these impact on the visibility of objects;
8. Recording any Aboriginal objects observed during the survey; and
9. Recording of spatial information suitable for mapping according to Code requirements and the calculation of survey coverage.

The archaeological survey is designed to sample the precincts in a systematic manner by targeting areas of ground surface visibility and including a representative sample of all landforms. Any areas of ground exposure will be examined for archaeological evidence such as stone artefacts, charcoal and shell. Ground surfaces and cut and fill features will also be examined to determine the degree of soil disturbance, erosion and potential for subsurface archaeological deposits.

The Archaeological survey will involve a five stage approach:

**Stage 1** – In conjunction with the proponent, AHMS will seek contact with the landowners to arrange a date for the archaeological survey of each property to be conducted. AHMS will also seek advice from the landowners on access issues and discuss any stipulated requirements.

**Stage 2** - An analysis of topographic maps and aerial photographs will be undertaken prior to the survey to identify landforms across the subject area and to identify areas of ground surface exposure in the form of tracks, unsealed roads, dams, cuttings and areas of ground exposure. Those properties that meet these requirements will then be targeted during the survey because they provide an opportunity to identify surface artefact scatters and to investigate exposed soil profiles. Properties that have been subject to extensive ground disturbance will be neglected in favour of undisturbed properties with high ground surface visibility in order to maximise the chance of identifying surface sites and potential archaeological deposits. The properties selected for survey will also reflect the range of landforms present within the subject areas.

**Stage 3** - The first step when entering each property is to familiarize ourselves with the landscape and target areas of ground surface visibility.

**Stage 4** - Following the initial scoping work, ground inspection will be conducted on foot in survey transects. Areas of ground surface visibility will be surveyed in their entirety. We propose to traverse approximately 70km in survey transects across both precincts.

**Stage 5** – Any surface artefact scatters found during the survey will be recorded in detail using a pro-forma developed for field recording. The location and extent of each surface site would be recorded with a GPS. Field notes will be made and photographs taken to document landscape configuration, soil profiles, soil disturbance, ground visibility and vegetation types. Previously recorded sites will also be assessed in order to provide information on their current extent and significance.

The **OEH Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects** in New South Wales requires that when a desktop assessment and archaeological survey confirm the presence or likelihood of Aboriginal objects that will be harmed by a proposed development, further investigation is required.

However, if the results of the desktop research and archaeological survey indicate that there is a low likelihood for sub-surface Aboriginal objects with potential conservation value to be present within the study area and high levels of past disturbance have most likely removed or disturbed any Aboriginal sites or objects, then further archaeological investigation (in the form
of sub-surface testing) should not be considered warranted prior to the proponent undertaking an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP).

Aboriginal Consultation

AHMS has recently begun the formal consultation process in accordance with OEH’s Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010 (DECCW, 2010). The project currently has the following Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs):

- Deerubbin LALC;
- Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments;
- Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation;
- Darug Land Observations;
- Tocomwall;
- Phil Kahn;
- Tony Williams;
- Shane Williams;
- Darren Williams; and
- Andrew Williams.

Please note that unless otherwise advised, we are required to send details of all RAPs to OEH and Local Aboriginal Land Council as part of the consultation procedure.

Timeframes

AHMS proposes the following indicative timeframes for the project:

- Distribution of this document to the RAPs: 1 May 2014.
- End of review period for the proposed methodology: 28 May 2014.
- Field Survey: eight days to be undertaken in early June 2014.

Information Sought

AHMS would appreciate your review of the above methodology proposed for the investigation and assessment of the project.

In returning your answers, please include the following where appropriate:

- Any protocols that you would like adopted during the project;
• Identification of any Aboriginal objects of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Identification of any places of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Guidance on the protocols, sensitivity, use and/or distribution of any cultural information that you provide AHMS;

• Whether you require any further information prior to AHMS proceeding with the project; and

• Any initial thoughts on the potential long term storage and/or management of the Aboriginal objects that may be recovered from the test excavations if they ensue.

Please ensure AHMS is also provided with your nominated individual’s contact information including phone number, fax number, postal address and e-mail address (if available).

If you wish to be considered for participation in any fieldwork, please also provide information on the following:

• Current certificates of currency for relevant insurances;

• Information on hourly or daily rates;

• Information that may demonstrate experience in archaeological survey/excavation;

• An assurance that any proposed staff are ‘fit for work’ to undertake the physical labour of archaeological survey/excavation.

• An assurance that any proposed site staff are endorsed by a relevant section of the Aboriginal community and are able to confer and/or report back to them on project outcomes.

We also direct you to AHMS’ policy and procedures on Aboriginal engagement, which will be provided with this document.

It is important that you understand that AHMS will compile and forward information for review by the proponent, but we do not decide who will be involved in any paid capacity.

If you like to arrange for any elders or other community members to be able to visit the site during the work, please let us know.
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Figure 1 - The location and extent of the study area showing Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts
1 May 2014

Leanne Watson
Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation
PO Box 81
Windsor NSW 2756

Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts: Project Information and Proposed Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Methodology

Dear Leanne,

Thank you for your registration of interest in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment for the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts (Figure 1). Your interest in the project has been formally registered in accordance with the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010.

The subject areas comprise:

- Riverstone East Precinct, Blacktown Local Government Area: Riverstone East is some 650 hectares, and is bounded by Schofields Road to the south, Windsor Road to the north east and First Ponds creek to the west;

- Vineyard Precinct, Hawkesbury Local Government Area: Vineyard is some 500 hectares and is bounded by Commercial Road to the north, Boundary Road to the east and Windsor Road to the south.

AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the areas, and that the results of the ACHA will assist with the precinct planning process.

Enclosed is the proposed methodology for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment which includes an archaeological survey. I would like to invite you to review the methodology and provide comment. Can you please provide any comments you may have by (28 May 2014).

Thanks again for registering your interest and participation in this project. I look forward to receiving your response to the proposed methodology. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me on (02) 9555 4000.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Williams MAACAI
Manager NSW - Aboriginal Heritage
Contact Details

This letter has been prepared by Archaeological & Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd (AHMS) for the proponent, Department of Planning & Environment:

Table 1 - Contact Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proponent</th>
<th>Archaeological Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSW Planning &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>Archaeological &amp; Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPO Box 39</td>
<td>2/729 Elizabeth Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney, NSW, 2001</td>
<td>Waterloo NSW 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Person: Evelyn Ivinson</td>
<td>Contact Person: Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. (02) 9860 1525</td>
<td>T. 02 9555 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. 02 9555 7005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au">evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au</a></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:awilliams@ahms.com.au">awilliams@ahms.com.au</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

The subject area comprises the Vineyard and Riverstone East Precincts. AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the subject area to allow for future urban development. THE ACHA will assist in this process by identifying archaeologically and culturally sensitive areas within the growth precincts.

AHMS will be undertaking the assessment in accordance with the relevant OEH (now part of NSW Department of Planning and Environment) guidelines. An important part of the assessment will be Aboriginal community consultation that aims to identify cultural values and places of importance to the Aboriginal community within the subject area.

A review of the AHIMS database has indicated that there are:

- 4 registered sites within the Vineyard Precinct;
- 9 registered sites within the Riverstone East Precinct.

As the proposed works may have the potential to impact on Aboriginal cultural heritage in the future, the Department of Planning & Environment have engaged AHMS, to undertake an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) of the study area in accordance with OEH guidelines. The ACHA will assess the potential impact of any proposed future development, and will provide the necessary documentation to apply for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP), or Permits, should one be required.
Proposed Assessment Methodology

AHMS proposes to develop an ACHA in accordance with the latest OEH guidelines for the proposed development, being:

- *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW* (OEH 2011);
- *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW 2010); and
- *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW 2010).

Development of the ACHA will include the following tasks:

- Aboriginal community consultation;
- Archaeological survey (further detail is provided below). This component would be undertaken in conjunction with representatives of the Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) based on selective commercial engagements determined by the client;
- Preparation of the ACHA, which incorporates Aboriginal consultation and any cultural information provided, outlines the findings of the archaeological survey, assesses potential impacts to Aboriginal heritage, and makes recommendations on any Aboriginal heritage sites and/or objects that may be present within the proposed subject area;
- If required, prepare an application for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) or Permits to allow the proposed activity and/or additional mitigation measures to be conducted;
- If sites are identified, submit Site Cards and Site Impact Recording Forms to OEH; and
- If required, develop a Care and Control agreement for recovered artefacts and/or submit a site update card to OEH upon reburial of artefacts.

Archaeological Survey Methodology

We propose to undertake an archaeological survey of the subject area utilising a stratified sampling methodology. Methods will follow the *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW* (2010) and aim to identify any visible Aboriginal objects (material traces and evidence of Aboriginal land); as well as identify those areas where it can be inferred that, although not visible, material traces or evidence of Aboriginal land use have a likelihood of being present under the ground surface (potential archaeological deposits).

The survey strategy will utilise the following requirements of the Code and include:

1. Inspection of a sample of the landforms that will potentially be impacted;
2. Place a proportional emphasis on those landforms deemed to have archaeological potential;
3. Re-inspection of the GPS location of any previously recorded Aboriginal sites, in order to provide information on their current extent and significance;
4. Pedestrian survey of pre-determined transects;
5. Taking representative photographs of survey units and landforms where informative;
6. Recording landform and general soil information for each survey unit;
7. Recording the land surface and vegetation conditions encountered during the survey, accounting as appropriate for things like vegetation, rock outcrops, coarse fragments, etc.) and how these impact on the visibility of objects;
8. Recording any Aboriginal objects observed during the survey; and
9. Recording of spatial information suitable for mapping according to Code requirements and the calculation of survey coverage.

The archaeological survey is designed to sample the precincts in a systematic manner by targeting areas of ground surface visibility and including a representative sample of all landforms. Any areas of ground exposure will be examined for archaeological evidence such as stone artefacts, charcoal and shell. Ground surfaces and cut and fill features will also be examined to determine the degree of soil disturbance, erosion and potential for subsurface archaeological deposits.

The Archaeological survey will involve a five stage approach:

**Stage 1** – In conjunction with the proponent, AHMS will seek contact with the landowners to arrange a date for the archaeological survey of each property to be conducted. AHMS will also seek advice from the landowners on access issues and discuss any stipulated requirements.

**Stage 2** - An analysis of topographic maps and aerial photographs will be undertaken prior to the survey to identify landforms across the subject area and to identify areas of ground surface exposure in the form of tracks, unsealed roads, dams, cuttings and areas of ground exposure. Those properties that meet these requirements will then be targeted during the survey because they provide an opportunity to identify surface artefact scatters and to investigate exposed soil profiles. Properties that have been subject to extensive ground disturbance will be neglected in favour of undisturbed properties with high ground surface visibility in order to maximise the chance of identifying surface sites and potential archaeological deposits. The properties selected for survey will also reflect the range of landforms present within the subject areas.

**Stage 3** - The first step when entering each property is to familiarize ourselves with the landscape and target areas of ground surface visibility.

**Stage 4** - Following the initial scoping work, ground inspection will be conducted on foot in survey transects. Areas of ground surface visibility will be surveyed in their entirety. We propose to traverse approximately 70km in survey transects across both precincts.

**Stage 5** – Any surface artefact scatters found during the survey will be recorded in detail using a pro-forma developed for field recording. The location and extent of each surface site would be recorded with a GPS. Field notes will be made and photographs taken to document landscape configuration, soil profiles, soil disturbance, ground visibility and vegetation types. Previously recorded sites will also be assessed in order to provide information on their current extent and significance.

The OEH Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales requires that when a desktop assessment and archaeological survey confirm the presence or likelihood of Aboriginal objects that will be harmed by a proposed development, further investigation is required.

However, if the results of the desktop research and archaeological survey indicate that there is a low likelihood for sub-surface Aboriginal objects with potential conservation value to be present within the study area and high levels of past disturbance have most likely removed or disturbed any Aboriginal sites or objects, then further archaeological investigation (in the form
of sub-surface testing) should not be considered warranted prior to the proponent undertaking an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP).

Aboriginal Consultation

AHMS has recently begun the formal consultation process in accordance with OEH’s Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010 (DECCW, 2010). The project currently has the following Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs):

- Deerubbin LALC;
- Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments;
- Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation;
- Darug Land Observations;
- Tocomwall;
- Phil Kahn;
- Tony Williams;
- Shane Williams;
- Darren Williams; and
- Andrew Williams.

Please note that unless otherwise advised, we are required to send details of all RAPs to OEH and Local Aboriginal Land Council as part of the consultation procedure.

Timeframes

AHMS proposes the following indicative timeframes for the project:

- Distribution of this document to the RAPs: 1 May 2014.
- End of review period for the proposed methodology: 28 May 2014.
- Field Survey: eight days to be undertaken in early June 2014.

Information Sought

AHMS would appreciate your review of the above methodology proposed for the investigation and assessment of the project.

In returning your answers, please include the following where appropriate:

- Any protocols that you would like adopted during the project;
• Identification of any Aboriginal objects of cultural significance and/or importance that you
  are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the
  project;

• Identification of any places of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware
  of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Guidance on the protocols, sensitivity, use and/or distribution of any cultural information
  that you provide AHMS;

• Whether you require any further information prior to AHMS proceeding with the project;
  and

• Any initial thoughts on the potential long term storage and/or management of the
  Aboriginal objects that may be recovered from the test excavations if they ensue.

Please ensure AHMS is also provided with your nominated individual’s contact information
including phone number, fax number, postal address and e-mail address (if available).

If you wish to be considered for participation in any fieldwork, please also provide information
on the following:

• Current certificates of currency for relevant insurances;

• Information on hourly or daily rates;

• Information that may demonstrate experience in archaeological survey/excavation;

• An assurance that any proposed staff are ‘fit for work’ to undertake the physical labour of
  archaeological survey/excavation.

• An assurance that any proposed site staff are endorsed by a relevant section of the
  Aboriginal community and are able to confer and/or report back to them on project
  outcomes.

We also direct you to AHMS’ policy and procedures on Aboriginal engagement, which will be
provided with this document.

It is important that you understand that AHMS will compile and forward information for review
by the proponent, but we do not decide who will be involved in any paid capacity.

If you like to arrange for any elders or other community members to be able to visit the site
during the work, please let us know.
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Figure 1 - The location and extent of the study area showing Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts
1 May 2014

Cherie Carroll Turrise  
Gunjeewong Cultural Heritage Aboriginal Corporation  
1 Bellevue Place  
Portland NSW 2847

Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts: Project Information and Proposed Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Methodology

Dear Cherie,

Thank you for your registration of interest in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment for the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts (Figure 1). Your interest in the project has been formally registered in accordance with the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010.

The subject areas comprise:

- Riverstone East Precinct, Blacktown Local Government Area: Riverstone East is some 650 hectares, and is bounded by Schofields Road to the south, Windsor Road to the north east and First Ponds creek to the west;
- Vineyard Precinct, Hawkesbury Local Government Area: Vineyard is some 500 hectares and is bounded by Commercial Road to the north, Boundary Road to the east and Windsor Road to the south.

AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the areas, and that the results of the ACHA will assist with the precinct planning process.

Enclosed is the proposed methodology for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment which includes an archaeological survey. I would like to invite you to review the methodology and provide comment. Can you please provide any comments you may have by (28 May 2014).

Thanks again for registering your interest and participation in this project. I look forward to receiving your response to the proposed methodology. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me on (02) 9555 4000.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Williams MAACAI  
Manager NSW - Aboriginal Heritage
Contact Details

This letter has been prepared by Archaeological & Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd (AHMS) for the proponent, Department of Planning & Environment:

**Table 1 - Contact Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proponent</th>
<th>Archaeological Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSW Planning &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>Archaeological &amp; Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPO Box 39</td>
<td>2/729 Elizabeth Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney, NSW, 2001</td>
<td>Waterloo NSW 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Person: Evelyn Ivinson</td>
<td>Contact Person: Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. (02) 9860 1525</td>
<td>T. 02 9555 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. 02 9555 7005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au">evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:awilliams@ahms.com.au">awilliams@ahms.com.au</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Background**

The subject area comprises the Vineyard and Riverstone East Precincts. AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the subject area to allow for future urban development. THE ACHA will assist in this process by identifying archaeologically and culturally sensitive areas within the growth precincts.

AHMS will be undertaking the assessment in accordance with the relevant OEH (now part of NSW Department of Planning and Environment) guidelines. An important part of the assessment will be Aboriginal community consultation that aims to identify cultural values and places of importance to the Aboriginal community within the subject area.

A review of the AHIMS database has indicated that there are:

- 4 registered sites within the Vineyard Precinct;
- 9 registered sites within the Riverstone East Precinct.

As the proposed works may have the potential to impact on Aboriginal cultural heritage in the future, the Department of Planning & Environment have engaged AHMS, to undertake an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) of the study area in accordance with OEH guidelines. The ACHA will assess the potential impact of any proposed future development, and will provide the necessary documentation to apply for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP), or Permits, should one be required.
Proposed Assessment Methodology

AHMS proposes to develop an ACHA in accordance with the latest OEH guidelines for the proposed development, being:

- *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW* (OEH 2011);

- *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW 2010); and

- *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW 2010).

Development of the ACHA will include the following tasks:

- Aboriginal community consultation;

- Archaeological survey (further detail is provided below). This component would be undertaken in conjunction with representatives of the Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) based on selective commercial engagements determined by the client;

- Preparation of the ACHA, which incorporates Aboriginal consultation and any cultural information provided, outlines the findings of the archaeological survey, assesses potential impacts to Aboriginal heritage, and makes recommendations on any Aboriginal heritage sites and/or objects that may be present within the proposed subject area;

- If required, prepare an application for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) or Permits to allow the proposed activity and/or additional mitigation measures to be conducted;

- If sites are identified, submit Site Cards and Site Impact Recording Forms to OEH; and

- If required, develop a Care and Control agreement for recovered artefacts and/or submit a site update card to OEH upon reburial of artefacts.

Archaeological Survey Methodology

We propose to undertake an archaeological survey of the subject area utilising a stratified sampling methodology. Methods will follow the *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW* (2010) and aim to identify any visible Aboriginal objects (material traces and evidence of Aboriginal land); as well as identify those areas where it can be inferred that, although not visible, material traces or evidence of Aboriginal land use have a likelihood of being present under the ground surface (potential archaeological deposits).

The survey strategy will utilise the following requirements of the Code and include:

1. Inspection of a sample of the landforms that will potentially be impacted;
2. Place a proportional emphasis on those landforms deemed to have archaeological potential;
3. Re-inspection of the GPS location of any previously recorded Aboriginal sites, in order to provide information on their current extent and significance;
4. Pedestrian survey of pre-determined transects;
5. Taking representative photographs of survey units and landforms where informative;
6. Recording landform and general soil information for each survey unit;
7. Recording the land surface and vegetation conditions encountered during the survey, accounting as appropriate for things like vegetation, rock outcrops, coarse fragments, etc.) and how these impact on the visibility of objects;
8. Recording any Aboriginal objects observed during the survey; and
9. Recording of spatial information suitable for mapping according to Code requirements and the calculation of survey coverage.

The archaeological survey is designed to sample the precincts in a systematic manner by targeting areas of ground surface visibility and including a representative sample of all landforms. Any areas of ground exposure will be examined for archaeological evidence such as stone artefacts, charcoal and shell. Ground surfaces and cut and fill features will also be examined to determine the degree of soil disturbance, erosion and potential for subsurface archaeological deposits.

The Archaeological survey will involve a five stage approach:

Stage 1 – In conjunction with the proponent, AHMS will seek contact with the landowners to arrange a date for the archaeological survey of each property to be conducted. AHMS will also seek advice from the landowners on access issues and discuss any stipulated requirements.

Stage 2 - An analysis of topographic maps and aerial photographs will be undertaken prior to the survey to identify landforms across the subject area and to identify areas of ground surface exposure in the form of tracks, unsealed roads, dams, cuttings and areas of ground exposure. Those properties that meet these requirements will then be targeted during the survey because they provide an opportunity to identify surface artefact scatters and to investigate exposed soil profiles. Properties that have been subject to extensive ground disturbance will be neglected in favour of undisturbed properties with high ground surface visibility in order to maximise the chance of identifying surface sites and potential archaeological deposits. The properties selected for survey will also reflect the range of landforms present within the subject areas.

Stage 3 - The first step when entering each property is to familiarise ourselves with the landscape and target areas of ground surface visibility.

Stage 4 - Following the initial scoping work, ground inspection will be conducted on foot in survey transects. Areas of ground surface visibility will be surveyed in their entirety. We propose to traverse approximately 70km in survey transects across both precincts.

Stage 5 – Any surface artefact scatters found during the survey will be recorded in detail using a pro-forma developed for field recording. The location and extent of each surface site would be recorded with a GPS. Field notes will be made and photographs taken to document landscape configuration, soil profiles, soil disturbance, ground visibility and vegetation types. Previously recorded sites will also be assessed in order to provide information on their current extent and significance.

The OEH Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales requires that when a desktop assessment and archaeological survey confirm the presence or likelihood of Aboriginal objects that will be harmed by a proposed development, further investigation is required.

However, if the results of the desktop research and archaeological survey indicate that there is a low likelihood for sub-surface Aboriginal objects with potential conservation value to be present within the study area and high levels of past disturbance have most likely removed or disturbed any Aboriginal sites or objects, then further archaeological investigation (in the form
of sub-surface testing) should not be considered warranted prior to the proponent undertaking an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP).

**Aboriginal Consultation**

AHMS has recently begun the formal consultation process in accordance with OEH’s *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW, 2010). The project currently has the following Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs):

- Deerubbin LALC;
- Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments;
- Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation;
- Darug Land Observations;
- Tocomwall;
- Phil Kahn;
- Tony Williams;
- Shane Williams;
- Darren Williams; and
- Andrew Williams.

Please note that unless otherwise advised, we are required to send details of all RAPs to OEH and Local Aboriginal Land Council as part of the consultation procedure.

**Timeframes**

AHMS proposes the following indicative timeframes for the project:

- Distribution of this document to the RAPs: 1 May 2014.
- End of review period for the proposed methodology: 28 May 2014.
- Field Survey: eight days to be undertaken in early June 2014.

**Information Sought**

AHMS would appreciate your review of the above methodology proposed for the investigation and assessment of the project.

In returning your answers, please include the following where appropriate:

- Any protocols that you would like adopted during the project;
• Identification of any Aboriginal objects of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Identification of any places of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Guidance on the protocols, sensitivity, use and/or distribution of any cultural information that you provide AHMS;

• Whether you require any further information prior to AHMS proceeding with the project; and

• Any initial thoughts on the potential long term storage and/or management of the Aboriginal objects that may be recovered from the test excavations if they ensue.

Please ensure AHMS is also provided with your nominated individual’s contact information including phone number, fax number, postal address and e-mail address (if available).

If you wish to be considered for participation in any fieldwork, please also provide information on the following:

• Current certificates of currency for relevant insurances;

• Information on hourly or daily rates;

• Information that may demonstrate experience in archaeological survey/excavation;

• An assurance that any proposed staff are ‘fit for work’ to undertake the physical labour of archaeological survey/excavation.

• An assurance that any proposed site staff are endorsed by a relevant section of the Aboriginal community and are able to confer and/or report back to them on project outcomes.

We also direct you to AHMS’ policy and procedures on Aboriginal engagement, which will be provided with this document.

It is important that you understand that AHMS will compile and forward information for review by the proponent, but we do not decide who will be involved in any paid capacity.

If you like to arrange for any elders or other community members to be able to visit the site during the work, please let us know.
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Figure 1 - The location and extent of the study area showing Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts
1 May 2014

Phil Kahn
Pollowan Phil Kahn
78 Forbes Street
Emu Plains NSW 2750

Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts: Project Information and Proposed Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Methodology

Dear Phil,

Thank you for your registration of interest in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment for the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts (Figure 1). Your interest in the project has been formally registered in accordance with the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010.

The subject areas comprise:

- Riverstone East Precinct, Blacktown Local Government Area: Riverstone East is some 650 hectares, and is bounded by Schofields Road to the south, Windsor Road to the north east and First Ponds creek to the west;

- Vineyard Precinct, Hawkesbury Local Government Area: Vineyard is some 500 hectares and is bounded by Commercial Road to the north, Boundary Road to the east and Windsor Road to the south.

AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the areas, and that the results of the ACHA will assist with the precinct planning process.

Enclosed is the proposed methodology for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment which includes an archaeological survey. I would like to invite you to review the methodology and provide comment. Can you please provide any comments you may have by (28 May 2014).

Thanks again for registering your interest and participation in this project. I look forward to receiving your response to the proposed methodology. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me on (02) 9555 4000.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Williams MAACAI
Manager NSW - Aboriginal Heritage
Contact Details

This letter has been prepared by Archaeological & Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd (AHMS) for the proponent, Department of Planning & Environment:

Table 1 - Contact Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proponent</th>
<th>Archaeological Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSW Planning &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>Archaeological &amp; Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPO Box 39</td>
<td>2/729 Elizabeth Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney, NSW, 2001</td>
<td>Waterloo NSW 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Person: Evelyn Ivinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Person: Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T. (02) 9860 1525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T. 02 9555 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. 02 9555 7005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au">evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:awilliams@ahms.com.au">awilliams@ahms.com.au</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

The subject area comprises the Vineyard and Riverstone East Precincts. AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the subject area to allow for future urban development. THE ACHA will assist in this process by identifying archaeologically and culturally sensitive areas within the growth precincts.

AHMS will be undertaking the assessment in accordance with the relevant OEH (now part of NSW Department of Planning and Environment) guidelines. An important part of the assessment will be Aboriginal community consultation that aims to identify cultural values and places of importance to the Aboriginal community within the subject area.

A review of the AHIMS database has indicated that there are:

- 4 registered sites within the Vineyard Precinct;
- 9 registered sites within the Riverstone East Precinct.

As the proposed works may have the potential to impact on Aboriginal cultural heritage in the future, the Department of Planning & Environment have engaged AHMS, to undertake an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) of the study area in accordance with OEH guidelines. The ACHA will assess the potential impact of any proposed future development, and will provide the necessary documentation to apply for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP), or Permits, should one be required.
Proposed Assessment Methodology

AHMS proposes to develop an ACHA in accordance with the latest OEH guidelines for the proposed development, being:

- *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW* (OEH 2011);

- *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW 2010); and

- *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW 2010).

Development of the ACHA will include the following tasks:

- Aboriginal community consultation;

- Archaeological survey (further detail is provided below). This component would be undertaken in conjunction with representatives of the Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) based on selective commercial engagements determined by the client;

- Preparation of the ACHA, which incorporates Aboriginal consultation and any cultural information provided, outlines the findings of the archaeological survey, assesses potential impacts to Aboriginal heritage, and makes recommendations on any Aboriginal heritage sites and/or objects that may be present within the proposed subject area;

- If required, prepare an application for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) or Permits to allow the proposed activity and/or additional mitigation measures to be conducted;

- If sites are identified, submit Site Cards and Site Impact Recording Forms to OEH; and

- If required, develop a Care and Control agreement for recovered artefacts and/or submit a site update card to OEH upon reburial of artefacts.

Archaeological Survey Methodology

We propose to undertake an archaeological survey of the subject area utilising a stratified sampling methodology. Methods will follow the *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW* (2010) and aim to identify any visible Aboriginal objects (material traces and evidence of Aboriginal land); as well as identify those areas where it can be inferred that, although not visible, material traces or evidence of Aboriginal land use have a likelihood of being present under the ground surface (potential archaeological deposits).

The survey strategy will utilise the following requirements of the Code and include:

1. Inspection of a sample of the landforms that will potentially be impacted;
2. Place a proportional emphasis on those landforms deemed to have archaeological potential;
3. Re-inspection of the GPS location of any previously recorded Aboriginal sites, in order to provide information on their current extent and significance;
4. Pedestrian survey of pre-determined transects;
5. Taking representative photographs of survey units and landforms where informative;
6. Recording landform and general soil information for each survey unit;
7. Recording the land surface and vegetation conditions encountered during the survey, accounting as appropriate for things like vegetation, rock outcrops, coarse fragments, etc.) and how these impact on the visibility of objects;
8. Recording any Aboriginal objects observed during the survey; and
9. Recording of spatial information suitable for mapping according to Code requirements and the calculation of survey coverage.

The archaeological survey is designed to sample the precincts in a systematic manner by targeting areas of ground surface visibility and including a representative sample of all landforms. Any areas of ground exposure will be examined for archaeological evidence such as stone artefacts, charcoal and shell. Ground surfaces and cut and fill features will also be examined to determine the degree of soil disturbance, erosion and potential for subsurface archaeological deposits.

The Archaeological survey will involve a five stage approach:

**Stage 1** – In conjunction with the proponent, AHMS will seek contact with the landowners to arrange a date for the archaeological survey of each property to be conducted. AHMS will also seek advice from the landowners on access issues and discuss any stipulated requirements.

**Stage 2** - An analysis of topographic maps and aerial photographs will be undertaken prior to the survey to identify landforms across the subject area and to identify areas of ground surface exposure in the form of tracks, unsealed roads, dams, cuttings and areas of ground exposure. Those properties that meet these requirements will then be targeted during the survey because they provide an opportunity to identify surface artefact scatters and to investigate exposed soil profiles. Properties that have been subject to extensive ground disturbance will be neglected in favour of undisturbed properties with high ground surface visibility in order to maximise the chance of identifying surface sites and potential archaeological deposits. The properties selected for survey will also reflect the range of landforms present within the subject areas.

**Stage 3** - The first step when entering each property is to familiarize ourselves with the landscape and target areas of ground surface visibility.

**Stage 4** - Following the initial scoping work, ground inspection will be conducted on foot in survey transects. Areas of ground surface visibility will be surveyed in their entirety. We propose to traverse approximately 70km in survey transects across both precincts.

**Stage 5** – Any surface artefact scatters found during the survey will be recorded in detail using a pro-forma developed for field recording. The location and extent of each surface site would be recorded with a GPS. Field notes will be made and photographs taken to document landscape configuration, soil profiles, soil disturbance, ground visibility and vegetation types. Previously recorded sites will also be assessed in order to provide information on their current extent and significance.

The **OEH Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects** in New South Wales requires that when a desktop assessment and archaeological survey confirm the presence or likelihood of Aboriginal objects that will be harmed by a proposed development, further investigation is required.

However, if the results of the desktop research and archaeological survey indicate that there is a low likelihood for sub-surface Aboriginal objects with potential conservation value to be present within the study area and high levels of past disturbance have most likely removed or disturbed any Aboriginal sites or objects, then further archaeological investigation (in the form
of sub-surface testing) should not be considered warranted prior to the proponent undertaking an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP).

**Aboriginal Consultation**

AHMS has recently begun the formal consultation process in accordance with OEH’s *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW, 2010). The project currently has the following Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs):

- Deerubbin LALC;
- Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments;
- Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation;
- Darug Land Observations;
- Tocomwall;
- Phil Kahn;
- Tony Williams;
- Shane Williams;
- Darren Williams; and
- Andrew Williams.

Please note that unless otherwise advised, we are required to send details of all RAPs to OEH and Local Aboriginal Land Council as part of the consultation procedure.

**Timeframes**

AHMS proposes the following indicative timeframes for the project:

- Distribution of this document to the RAPs: 1 May 2014.
- End of review period for the proposed methodology: 28 May 2014.
- Field Survey: eight days to be undertaken in early June 2014.

**Information Sought**

AHMS would appreciate your review of the above methodology proposed for the investigation and assessment of the project.

In returning your answers, please include the following where appropriate:

- Any protocols that you would like adopted during the project;
• Identification of any Aboriginal objects of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Identification of any places of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Guidance on the protocols, sensitivity, use and/or distribution of any cultural information that you provide AHMS;

• Whether you require any further information prior to AHMS proceeding with the project; and

• Any initial thoughts on the potential long term storage and/or management of the Aboriginal objects that may be recovered from the test excavations if they ensue.

Please ensure AHMS is also provided with your nominated individual’s contact information including phone number, fax number, postal address and e-mail address (if available).

If you wish to be considered for participation in any fieldwork, please also provide information on the following:

• Current certificates of currency for relevant insurances;

• Information on hourly or daily rates;

• Information that may demonstrate experience in archaeological survey/excavation;

• An assurance that any proposed staff are ‘fit for work’ to undertake the physical labour of archaeological survey/excavation.

• An assurance that any proposed site staff are endorsed by a relevant section of the Aboriginal community and are able to confer and/or report back to them on project outcomes.

We also direct you to AHMS’ policy and procedures on Aboriginal engagement, which will be provided with this document.

It is important that you understand that AHMS will compile and forward information for review by the proponent, but we do not decide who will be involved in any paid capacity.

If you like to arrange for any elders or other community members to be able to visit the site during the work, please let us know.
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Figure 1 - The location and extent of the study area showing Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts
1 May 2014

Tony Williams
RANE Contracting
PO Box 1341
Mona Vale NSW 2103

Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts: Project Information and Proposed Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Methodology

Dear Tony,

Thank you for your registration of interest in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment for the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts (Figure 1). Your interest in the project has been formally registered in accordance with the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010.

The subject areas comprise:

- Riverstone East Precinct, Blacktown Local Government Area: Riverstone East is some 650 hectares, and is bounded by Schofields Road to the south, Windsor Road to the north east and First Ponds creek to the west;

- Vineyard Precinct, Hawkesbury Local Government Area: Vineyard is some 500 hectares and is bounded by Commercial Road to the north, Boundary Road to the east and Windsor Road to the south.

AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the areas, and that the results of the ACHA will assist with the precinct planning process.

Enclosed is the proposed methodology for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment which includes an archaeological survey. I would like to invite you to review the methodology and provide comment. Can you please provide any comments you may have by (28 May 2014).

Thanks again for registering your interest and participation in this project. I look forward to receiving your response to the proposed methodology. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me on (02) 9555 4000.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Williams MAACAI
Manager NSW - Aboriginal Heritage
Contact Details

This letter has been prepared by Archaeological & Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd (AHMS) for the proponent, Department of Planning & Environment:

Table 1 - Contact Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proponent</th>
<th>Archaeological Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSW Planning &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>Archaeological &amp; Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPO Box 39</td>
<td>2/729 Elizabeth Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney, NSW, 2001</td>
<td>Waterloo NSW 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Person: Evelyn Ivinson</td>
<td>Contact Person: Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. (02) 9860 1525</td>
<td>T. 02 9555 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. 02 9555 7005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au">evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au</a></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:awilliams@ahms.com.au">awilliams@ahms.com.au</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

The subject area comprises the Vineyard and Riverstone East Precincts. AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the subject area to allow for future urban development. THE ACHA will assist in this process by identifying archaeologically and culturally sensitive areas within the growth precincts.

AHMS will be undertaking the assessment in accordance with the relevant OEH (now part of NSW Department of Planning and Environment) guidelines. An important part of the assessment will be Aboriginal community consultation that aims to identify cultural values and places of importance to the Aboriginal community within the subject area.

A review of the AHIMS database has indicated that there are:

- 4 registered sites within the Vineyard Precinct;
- 9 registered sites within the Riverstone East Precinct.

As the proposed works may have the potential to impact on Aboriginal cultural heritage in the future, the Department of Planning & Environment have engaged AHMS, to undertake an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) of the study area in accordance with OEH guidelines. The ACHA will assess the potential impact of any proposed future development, and will provide the necessary documentation to apply for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP), or Permits, should one be required.
Proposed Assessment Methodology

AHMS proposes to develop an ACHA in accordance with the latest OEH guidelines for the proposed development, being:

- Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW (OEH 2011);
- Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales (DECCW 2010); and
- Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010 (DECCW 2010).

Development of the ACHA will include the following tasks:

- Aboriginal community consultation;
- Archaeological survey (further detail is provided below). This component would be undertaken in conjunction with representatives of the Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) based on selective commercial engagements determined by the client;
- Preparation of the ACHA, which incorporates Aboriginal consultation and any cultural information provided, outlines the findings of the archaeological survey, assesses potential impacts to Aboriginal heritage, and makes recommendations on any Aboriginal heritage sites and/or objects that may be present within the proposed subject area;
- If required, prepare an application for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) or Permits to allow the proposed activity and/or additional mitigation measures to be conducted;
- If sites are identified, submit Site Cards and Site Impact Recording Forms to OEH; and
- If required, develop a Care and Control agreement for recovered artefacts and/or submit a site update card to OEH upon reburial of artefacts.

Archaeological Survey Methodology

We propose to undertake an archaeological survey of the subject area utilising a stratified sampling methodology. Methods will follow the Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW (2010) and aim to identify any visible Aboriginal objects (material traces and evidence of Aboriginal land); as well as identify those areas where it can be inferred that, although not visible, material traces or evidence of Aboriginal land use have a likelihood of being present under the ground surface (potential archaeological deposits).

The survey strategy will utilise the following requirements of the Code and include:

1. Inspection of a sample of the landforms that will potentially be impacted;
2. Place a proportional emphasis on those landforms deemed to have archaeological potential;
3. Re-inspection of the GPS location of any previously recorded Aboriginal sites, in order to provide information on their current extent and significance;
4. Pedestrian survey of pre-determined transects;
5. Taking representative photographs of survey units and landforms where informative;
6. Recording landform and general soil information for each survey unit;
7. Recording the land surface and vegetation conditions encountered during the survey, accounting as appropriate for things like vegetation, rock outcrops, coarse fragments, etc.) and how these impact on the visibility of objects;
8. Recording any Aboriginal objects observed during the survey; and
9. Recording of spatial information suitable for mapping according to Code requirements and the calculation of survey coverage.

The archaeological survey is designed to sample the precincts in a systematic manner by targeting areas of ground surface visibility and including a representative sample of all landforms. Any areas of ground exposure will be examined for archaeological evidence such as stone artefacts, charcoal and shell. Ground surfaces and cut and fill features will also be examined to determine the degree of soil disturbance, erosion and potential for subsurface archaeological deposits.

The Archaeological survey will involve a five stage approach:

Stage 1 – In conjunction with the proponent, AHMS will seek contact with the landowners to arrange a date for the archaeological survey of each property to be conducted. AHMS will also seek advice from the landowners on access issues and discuss any stipulated requirements.

Stage 2 - An analysis of topographic maps and aerial photographs will be undertaken prior to the survey to identify landforms across the subject area and to identify areas of ground surface exposure in the form of tracks, unsealed roads, dams, cuttings and areas of ground exposure. Those properties that meet these requirements will then be targeted during the survey because they provide an opportunity to identify surface artefact scatters and to investigate exposed soil profiles. Properties that have been subject to extensive ground disturbance will be neglected in favour of undisturbed properties with high ground surface visibility in order to maximise the chance of identifying surface sites and potential archaeological deposits. The properties selected for survey will also reflect the range of landforms present within the subject areas.

Stage 3 - The first step when entering each property is to familiarize ourselves with the landscape and target areas of ground surface visibility.

Stage 4 - Following the initial scoping work, ground inspection will be conducted on foot in survey transects. Areas of ground surface visibility will be surveyed in their entirety. We propose to traverse approximately 70km in survey transects across both precincts.

Stage 5 – Any surface artefact scatters found during the survey will be recorded in detail using a pro-forma developed for field recording. The location and extent of each surface site would be recorded with a GPS. Field notes will be made and photographs taken to document landscape configuration, soil profiles, soil disturbance, ground visibility and vegetation types. Previously recorded sites will also be assessed in order to provide information on their current extent and significance.

The OEH Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales requires that when a desktop assessment and archaeological survey confirm the presence or likelihood of Aboriginal objects that will be harmed by a proposed development, further investigation is required.

However, if the results of the desktop research and archaeological survey indicate that there is a low likelihood for sub-surface Aboriginal objects with potential conservation value to be present within the study area and high levels of past disturbance have most likely removed or disturbed any Aboriginal sites or objects, then further archaeological investigation (in the form
of sub-surface testing) should not be considered warranted prior to the proponent undertaking an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP).

**Aboriginal Consultation**

AHMS has recently begun the formal consultation process in accordance with OEH’s *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW, 2010). The project currently has the following Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs):

- Deerubbin LALC;
- Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments;
- Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation;
- Darug Land Observations;
- Tocomwall;
- Phil Kahn;
- Tony Williams;
- Shane Williams;
- Darren Williams; and
- Andrew Williams.

Please note that unless otherwise advised, we are required to send details of all RAPs to OEH and Local Aboriginal Land Council as part of the consultation procedure.

**Timeframes**

AHMS proposes the following indicative timeframes for the project:

- Distribution of this document to the RAPs: 1 May 2014.
- End of review period for the proposed methodology: 28 May 2014.
- Field Survey: eight days to be undertaken in early June 2014.

**Information Sought**

AHMS would appreciate your review of the above methodology proposed for the investigation and assessment of the project.

In returning your answers, please include the following where appropriate:

- Any protocols that you would like adopted during the project;
• Identification of any Aboriginal objects of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Identification of any places of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Guidance on the protocols, sensitivity, use and/or distribution of any cultural information that you provide AHMS;

• Whether you require any further information prior to AHMS proceeding with the project; and

• Any initial thoughts on the potential long term storage and/or management of the Aboriginal objects that may be recovered from the test excavations if they ensue.

Please ensure AHMS is also provided with your nominated individual’s contact information including phone number, fax number, postal address and e-mail address (if available).

If you wish to be considered for participation in any fieldwork, please also provide information on the following:

• Current certificates of currency for relevant insurances;

• Information on hourly or daily rates;

• Information that may demonstrate experience in archaeological survey/excavation;

• An assurance that any proposed staff are ‘fit for work’ to undertake the physical labour of archaeological survey/excavation.

• An assurance that any proposed site staff are endorsed by a relevant section of the Aboriginal community and are able to confer and/or report back to them on project outcomes.

We also direct you to AHMS’ policy and procedures on Aboriginal engagement, which will be provided with this document.

It is important that you understand that AHMS will compile and forward information for review by the proponent, but we do not decide who will be involved in any paid capacity.

If you like to arrange for any elders or other community members to be able to visit the site during the work, please let us know.
References


Figure 1 - The location and extent of the study area showing Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts
1 May 2014

Shane Williams  
5 Hillpine Place  
Terrey Hills NSW 2084

**Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts: Project Information and Proposed Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Methodology**

Dear Shane,

Thank you for your registration of interest in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment for the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts (**Figure 1**). Your interest in the project has been formally registered in accordance with the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010*.

The subject areas comprise:

- Riverstone East Precinct, Blacktown Local Government Area: Riverstone East is some 650 hectares, and is bounded by Schofields Road to the south, Windsor Road to the north east and First Ponds creek to the west;

- Vineyard Precinct, Hawkesbury Local Government Area: Vineyard is some 500 hectares and is bounded by Commercial Road to the north, Boundary Road to the east and Windsor Road to the south.

AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the areas, and that the results of the ACHA will assist with the precinct planning process.

Enclosed is the proposed methodology for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment which includes an archaeological survey. I would like to invite you to review the methodology and provide comment. Can you please provide any comments you may have by (**28 May 2014**).

Thanks again for registering your interest and participation in this project. I look forward to receiving your response to the proposed methodology. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me on (02) 9555 4000.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Alan Williams MAACAI
Manager NSW - Aboriginal Heritage
Contact Details

This letter has been prepared by Archaeological & Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd (AHMS) for the proponent, Department of Planning & Environment:

Table 1 - Contact Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proponent</th>
<th>Archaeological Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSW Planning &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>Archaeological &amp; Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPO Box 39</td>
<td>2/729 Elizabeth Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney, NSW, 2001</td>
<td>Waterloo NSW 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Person: Evelyn Ivinson</td>
<td>Contact Person: Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. (02) 9860 1525</td>
<td>T. 02 9555 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. 02 9555 7005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au">evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au</a></td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:awilliams@ahms.com.au">awilliams@ahms.com.au</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

The subject area comprises the Vineyard and Riverstone East Precincts. AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the subject area to allow for future urban development. THE ACHA will assist in this process by identifying archaeologically and culturally sensitive areas within the growth precincts.

AHMS will be undertaking the assessment in accordance with the relevant OEH (now part of NSW Department of Planning and Environment) guidelines. An important part of the assessment will be Aboriginal community consultation that aims to identify cultural values and places of importance to the Aboriginal community within the subject area.

A review of the AHIMS database has indicated that there are:

- 4 registered sites within the Vineyard Precinct;
- 9 registered sites within the Riverstone East Precinct.

As the proposed works may have the potential to impact on Aboriginal cultural heritage in the future, the Department of Planning & Environment have engaged AHMS, to undertake an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) of the study area in accordance with OEH guidelines. The ACHA will assess the potential impact of any proposed future development, and will provide the necessary documentation to apply for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP), or Permits, should one be required.
Proposed Assessment Methodology

AHMS proposes to develop an ACHA in accordance with the latest OEH guidelines for the proposed development, being:

- *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW* (OEH 2011);
- *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW 2010); and
- *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW 2010).

Development of the ACHA will include the following tasks:

- Aboriginal community consultation;
- Archaeological survey (further detail is provided below). This component would be undertaken in conjunction with representatives of the Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) based on selective commercial engagements determined by the client;
- Preparation of the ACHA, which incorporates Aboriginal consultation and any cultural information provided, outlines the findings of the archaeological survey, assesses potential impacts to Aboriginal heritage, and makes recommendations on any Aboriginal heritage sites and/or objects that may be present within the proposed subject area;
- If required, prepare an application for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) or Permits to allow the proposed activity and/or additional mitigation measures to be conducted;
- If sites are identified, submit Site Cards and Site Impact Recording Forms to OEH; and
- If required, develop a Care and Control agreement for recovered artefacts and/or submit a site update card to OEH upon reburial of artefacts.

Archaeological Survey Methodology

We propose to undertake an archaeological survey of the subject area utilising a stratified sampling methodology. Methods will follow the *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW* (2010) and aim to identify any visible Aboriginal objects (material traces and evidence of Aboriginal land); as well as identify those areas where it can be inferred that, although not visible, material traces or evidence of Aboriginal land use have a likelihood of being present under the ground surface (potential archaeological deposits).

The survey strategy will utilise the following requirements of the Code and include:

1. Inspection of a sample of the landforms that will potentially be impacted;
2. Place a proportional emphasis on those landforms deemed to have archaeological potential;
3. Re-inspection of the GPS location of any previously recorded Aboriginal sites, in order to provide information on their current extent and significance;
4. Pedestrian survey of pre-determined transects;
5. Taking representative photographs of survey units and landforms where informative;
6. Recording landform and general soil information for each survey unit;
7. Recording the land surface and vegetation conditions encountered during the survey, accounting as appropriate for things like vegetation, rock outcrops, coarse fragments, etc.) and how these impact on the visibility of objects;
8. Recording any Aboriginal objects observed during the survey; and
9. Recording of spatial information suitable for mapping according to Code requirements and the calculation of survey coverage.

The archaeological survey is designed to sample the precincts in a systematic manner by targeting areas of ground surface visibility and including a representative sample of all landforms. Any areas of ground exposure will be examined for archaeological evidence such as stone artefacts, charcoal and shell. Ground surfaces and cut and fill features will also be examined to determine the degree of soil disturbance, erosion and potential for subsurface archaeological deposits.

The Archaeological survey will involve a five stage approach:

**Stage 1** – In conjunction with the proponent, AHMS will seek contact with the landowners to arrange a date for the archaeological survey of each property to be conducted. AHMS will also seek advice from the landowners on access issues and discuss any stipulated requirements.

**Stage 2** - An analysis of topographic maps and aerial photographs will be undertaken prior to the survey to identify landforms across the subject area and to identify areas of ground surface exposure in the form of tracks, unsealed roads, dams, cuttings and areas of ground exposure. Those properties that meet these requirements will then be targeted during the survey because they provide an opportunity to identify surface artefact scatters and to investigate exposed soil profiles. Properties that have been subject to extensive ground disturbance will be neglected in favour of undisturbed properties with high ground surface visibility in order to maximise the chance of identifying surface sites and potential archaeological deposits. The properties selected for survey will also reflect the range of landforms present within the subject areas.

**Stage 3** - The first step when entering each property is to familiarize ourselves with the landscape and target areas of ground surface visibility.

**Stage 4** - Following the initial scoping work, ground inspection will be conducted on foot in survey transects. Areas of ground surface visibility will be surveyed in their entirety. We propose to traverse approximately 70km in survey transects across both precincts.

**Stage 5** – Any surface artefact scatters found during the survey will be recorded in detail using a pro-forma developed for field recording. The location and extent of each surface site would be recorded with a GPS. Field notes will be made and photographs taken to document landscape configuration, soil profiles, soil disturbance, ground visibility and vegetation types. Previously recorded sites will also be assessed in order to provide information on their current extent and significance.

The [OEH Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects](https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/) in New South Wales requires that when a desktop assessment and archaeological survey confirm the presence or likelihood of Aboriginal objects that will be harmed by a proposed development, further investigation is required.

However, if the results of the desktop research and archaeological survey indicate that there is a low likelihood for sub-surface Aboriginal objects with potential conservation value to be present within the study area and high levels of past disturbance have most likely removed or disturbed any Aboriginal sites or objects, then further archaeological investigation (in the form
of sub-surface testing) should not be considered warranted prior to the proponent undertaking an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP).

**Aboriginal Consultation**

AHMS has recently begun the formal consultation process in accordance with OEH’s *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW, 2010). The project currently has the following Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs):

- Deerubbin LALC;
- Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments;
- Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation;
- Darug Land Observations;
- Tocomwall;
- Phil Kahn;
- Tony Williams;
- Shane Williams;
- Darren Williams; and
- Andrew Williams.

Please note that unless otherwise advised, we are required to send details of all RAPs to OEH and Local Aboriginal Land Council as part of the consultation procedure.

**Timeframes**

AHMS proposes the following indicative timeframes for the project:

- Distribution of this document to the RAPs: 1 May 2014.
- End of review period for the proposed methodology: 28 May 2014.
- Field Survey: eight days to be undertaken in early June 2014.

**Information Sought**

AHMS would appreciate your review of the above methodology proposed for the investigation and assessment of the project.

In returning your answers, please include the following where appropriate:

- Any protocols that you would like adopted during the project;
- Identification of any Aboriginal objects of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

- Identification of any places of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

- Guidance on the protocols, sensitivity, use and/or distribution of any cultural information that you provide AHMS;

- Whether you require any further information prior to AHMS proceeding with the project; and

- Any initial thoughts on the potential long term storage and/or management of the Aboriginal objects that may be recovered from the test excavations if they ensue.

Please ensure AHMS is also provided with your nominated individual’s contact information including phone number, fax number, postal address and e-mail address (if available).

If you wish to be considered for participation in any fieldwork, please also provide information on the following:

- Current certificates of currency for relevant insurances;

- Information on hourly or daily rates;

- Information that may demonstrate experience in archaeological survey/excavation;

- An assurance that any proposed staff are ‘fit for work’ to undertake the physical labour of archaeological survey/excavation.

- An assurance that any proposed site staff are endorsed by a relevant section of the Aboriginal community and are able to confer and/or report back to them on project outcomes.

We also direct you to AHMS’ policy and procedures on Aboriginal engagement, which will be provided with this document.

It is important that you understand that AHMS will compile and forward information for review by the proponent, but we do not decide who will be involved in any paid capacity.

If you like to arrange for any elders or other community members to be able to visit the site during the work, please let us know.
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Figure 1 - The location and extent of the study area showing Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts
1 May 2014

Andrew Williams  
andie81@tpg.com.au

Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts: Project Information and Proposed Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Methodology

Dear Andrew,

Thank you for your registration of interest in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment for the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts (Figure 1). Your interest in the project has been formally registered in accordance with the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010.

The subject areas comprise:

- Riverstone East Precinct, Blacktown Local Government Area: Riverstone East is some 650 hectares, and is bounded by Schofields Road to the south, Windsor Road to the north east and First Ponds creek to the west;
- Vineyard Precinct, Hawkesbury Local Government Area: Vineyard is some 500 hectares and is bounded by Commercial Road to the north, Boundary Road to the east and Windsor Road to the south.

AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the areas, and that the results of the ACHA will assist with the precinct planning process.

Enclosed is the proposed methodology for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment which includes an archaeological survey. I would like to invite you to review the methodology and provide comment. Can you please provide any comments you may have by (28 May 2014).

Thanks again for registering your interest and participation in this project. I look forward to receiving your response to the proposed methodology. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me on (02) 9555 4000.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Williams MAACAI
Manager NSW - Aboriginal Heritage
Contact Details

This letter has been prepared by Archaeological & Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd (AHMS) for the proponent, Department of Planning & Environment:

**Table 1 - Contact Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proponent</th>
<th>Archaeological Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSW Planning &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>Archaeological &amp; Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPO Box 39</td>
<td>2/729 Elizabeth Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney, NSW, 2001</td>
<td>Waterloo NSW 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Person: Evelyn Ivinson  Contact Person: Alan Williams
T. (02) 9860 1525               T. 02 9555 4000
F. 02 9555 7005                 F: awilliams@ahms.com.au
E: evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au

Background

The subject area comprises the Vineyard and Riverstone East Precincts. AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the subject area to allow for future urban development. THE ACHA will assist in this process by identifying archaeologically and culturally sensitive areas within the growth precincts.

AHMS will be undertaking the assessment in accordance with the relevant OEH (now part of NSW Department of Planning and Environment) guidelines. An important part of the assessment will be Aboriginal community consultation that aims to identify cultural values and places of importance to the Aboriginal community within the subject area.

A review of the AHIMS database has indicated that there are:

- 4 registered sites within the Vineyard Precinct;
- 9 registered sites within the Riverstone East Precinct.

As the proposed works may have the potential to impact on Aboriginal cultural heritage in the future, the Department of Planning & Environment have engaged AHMS, to undertake an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) of the study area in accordance with OEH guidelines. The ACHA will assess the potential impact of any proposed future development, and will provide the necessary documentation to apply for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP), or Permits, should one be required.
Proposed Assessment Methodology

AHMS proposes to develop an ACHA in accordance with the latest OEH guidelines for the proposed development, being:

- Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW (OEH 2011);
- Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales (DECCW 2010); and
- Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010 (DECCW 2010).

Development of the ACHA will include the following tasks:

- Aboriginal community consultation;
- Archaeological survey (further detail is provided below). This component would be undertaken in conjunction with representatives of the Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) based on selective commercial engagements determined by the client;
- Preparation of the ACHA, which incorporates Aboriginal consultation and any cultural information provided, outlines the findings of the archaeological survey, assesses potential impacts to Aboriginal heritage, and makes recommendations on any Aboriginal heritage sites and/or objects that may be present within the proposed subject area;
- If required, prepare an application for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) or Permits to allow the proposed activity and/or additional mitigation measures to be conducted;
- If sites are identified, submit Site Cards and Site Impact Recording Forms to OEH; and
- If required, develop a Care and Control agreement for recovered artefacts and/or submit a site update card to OEH upon reburial of artefacts.

Archaeological Survey Methodology

We propose to undertake an archaeological survey of the subject area utilising a stratified sampling methodology. Methods will follow the Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW (2010) and aim to identify any visible Aboriginal objects (material traces and evidence of Aboriginal land); as well as identify those areas where it can be inferred that, although not visible, material traces or evidence of Aboriginal land use have a likelihood of being present under the ground surface (potential archaeological deposits).

The survey strategy will utilise the following requirements of the Code and include:

1. Inspection of a sample of the landforms that will potentially be impacted;
2. Place a proportional emphasis on those landforms deemed to have archaeological potential;
3. Re-inspection of the GPS location of any previously recorded Aboriginal sites, in order to provide information on their current extent and significance;
4. Pedestrian survey of pre-determined transects;
5. Taking representative photographs of survey units and landforms where informative;
6. Recording landform and general soil information for each survey unit;
7. Recording the land surface and vegetation conditions encountered during the survey, accounting as appropriate for things like vegetation, rock outcrops, coarse fragments, etc.) and how these impact on the visibility of objects;
8. Recording any Aboriginal objects observed during the survey; and
9. Recording of spatial information suitable for mapping according to Code requirements and the calculation of survey coverage.

The archaeological survey is designed to sample the precincts in a systematic manner by targeting areas of ground surface visibility and including a representative sample of all landforms. Any areas of ground exposure will be examined for archaeological evidence such as stone artefacts, charcoal and shell. Ground surfaces and cut and fill features will also be examined to determine the degree of soil disturbance, erosion and potential for subsurface archaeological deposits.

The Archaeological survey will involve a five stage approach:

**Stage 1** – In conjunction with the proponent, AHMS will seek contact with the landowners to arrange a date for the archaeological survey of each property to be conducted. AHMS will also seek advice from the landowners on access issues and discuss any stipulated requirements.

**Stage 2** - An analysis of topographic maps and aerial photographs will be undertaken prior to the survey to identify landforms across the subject area and to identify areas of ground surface exposure in the form of tracks, unsealed roads, dams, cuttings and areas of ground exposure. Those properties that meet these requirements will then be targeted during the survey because they provide an opportunity to identify surface artefact scatters and to investigate exposed soil profiles. Properties that have been subject to extensive ground disturbance will be neglected in favour of undisturbed properties with high ground surface visibility in order to maximise the chance of identifying surface sites and potential archaeological deposits. The properties selected for survey will also reflect the range of landforms present within the subject areas.

**Stage 3** - The first step when entering each property is to familiarize ourselves with the landscape and target areas of ground surface visibility.

**Stage 4** - Following the initial scoping work, ground inspection will be conducted on foot in survey transects. Areas of ground surface visibility will be surveyed in their entirety. We propose to traverse approximately 70km in survey transects across both precincts.

**Stage 5** – Any surface artefact scatters found during the survey will be recorded in detail using a pro-forma developed for field recording. The location and extent of each surface site would be recorded with a GPS. Field notes will be made and photographs taken to document landscape configuration, soil profiles, soil disturbance, ground visibility and vegetation types. Previously recorded sites will also be assessed in order to provide information on their current extent and significance.

The **OEH Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects** in New South Wales requires that when a desktop assessment and archaeological survey confirm the presence or likelihood of Aboriginal objects that will be harmed by a proposed development, further investigation is required.

However, if the results of the desktop research and archaeological survey indicate that there is a low likelihood for sub-surface Aboriginal objects with potential conservation value to be present within the study area and high levels of past disturbance have most likely removed or disturbed any Aboriginal sites or objects, then further archaeological investigation (in the form
of sub-surface testing) should not be considered warranted prior to the proponent undertaking an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP).

**Aboriginal Consultation**

AHMS has recently begun the formal consultation process in accordance with OEH’s *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW, 2010). The project currently has the following Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs):

- Deerubbin LALC;
- Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments;
- Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation;
- Darug Land Observations;
- Tocomwall;
- Phil Kahn;
- Tony Williams;
- Shane Williams;
- Darren Williams; and
- Andrew Williams.

Please note that unless otherwise advised, we are required to send details of all RAPs to OEH and Local Aboriginal Land Council as part of the consultation procedure.

**Timeframes**

AHMS proposes the following indicative timeframes for the project:

- Distribution of this document to the RAPs: 1 May 2014.
- End of review period for the proposed methodology: 28 May 2014.
- Field Survey: eight days to be undertaken in early June 2014.

**Information Sought**

AHMS would appreciate your review of the above methodology proposed for the investigation and assessment of the project.

In returning your answers, please include the following where appropriate:

- Any protocols that you would like adopted during the project;
• Identification of any Aboriginal objects of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Identification of any places of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Guidance on the protocols, sensitivity, use and/or distribution of any cultural information that you provide AHMS;

• Whether you require any further information prior to AHMS proceeding with the project; and

• Any initial thoughts on the potential long term storage and/or management of the Aboriginal objects that may be recovered from the test excavations if they ensue.

Please ensure AHMS is also provided with your nominated individual’s contact information including phone number, fax number, postal address and e-mail address (if available).

If you wish to be considered for participation in any fieldwork, please also provide information on the following:

• Current certificates of currency for relevant insurances;

• Information on hourly or daily rates;

• Information that may demonstrate experience in archaeological survey/excavation;

• An assurance that any proposed staff are ‘fit for work’ to undertake the physical labour of archaeological survey/excavation.

• An assurance that any proposed site staff are endorsed by a relevant section of the Aboriginal community and are able to confer and/or report back to them on project outcomes.

We also direct you to AHMS’ policy and procedures on Aboriginal engagement, which will be provided with this document.

It is important that you understand that AHMS will compile and forward information for review by the proponent, but we do not decide who will be involved in any paid capacity.

If you like to arrange for any elders or other community members to be able to visit the site during the work, please let us know.
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Figure 1 - The location and extent of the study area showing Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts
1 May 2014

Darren Williams
darrenwilliams187@gmail.com

Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts: Project Information and Proposed Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Methodology

Dear Darren,

Thank you for your registration of interest in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment for the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre Precincts (Figure 1). Your interest in the project has been formally registered in accordance with the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010.

The subject areas comprise:

- Riverstone East Precinct, Blacktown Local Government Area: Riverstone East is some 650 hectares, and is bounded by Schofields Road to the south, Windsor Road to the north east and First Ponds creek to the west;
- Vineyard Precinct, Hawkesbury Local Government Area: Vineyard is some 500 hectares and is bounded by Commercial Road to the north, Boundary Road to the east and Windsor Road to the south.

AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the areas, and that the results of the ACHA will assist with the precinct planning process.

Enclosed is the proposed methodology for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment which includes an archaeological survey. I would like to invite you to review the methodology and provide comment. Can you please provide any comments you may have by (28 May 2014).

Thanks again for registering your interest and participation in this project. I look forward to receiving your response to the proposed methodology. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me on (02) 9555 4000.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Williams MAACAI
Manager NSW - Aboriginal Heritage
Contact Details

This letter has been prepared by Archaeological & Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd (AHMS) for the proponent, Department of Planning & Environment:

Table 1 - Contact Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proponent</th>
<th>Archaeological Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSW Planning &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>Archaeological &amp; Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPO Box 39</td>
<td>2/729 Elizabeth Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sydney, NSW, 2001</td>
<td>Waterloo NSW 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Person: Evelyn Ivinson</td>
<td>Contact Person: Alan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. (02) 9860 1525</td>
<td>T. 02 9555 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. 02 9555 7005</td>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:awilliams@ahms.com.au">awilliams@ahms.com.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E: <a href="mailto:evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au">evelyn.ivinson@planning.nsw.gov.au</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

The subject area comprises the Vineyard and Riverstone East Precincts. AHMS understands that the Department of Planning & Environment proposes to re-zone the subject area to allow for future urban development. THE ACHA will assist in this process by identifying archaeologically and culturally sensitive areas within the growth precincts.

AHMS will be undertaking the assessment in accordance with the relevant OEH (now part of NSW Department of Planning and Environment) guidelines. An important part of the assessment will be Aboriginal community consultation that aims to identify cultural values and places of importance to the Aboriginal community within the subject area.

A review of the AHIMS database has indicated that there are:

- 4 registered sites within the Vineyard Precinct;
- 9 registered sites within the Riverstone East Precinct.

As the proposed works may have the potential to impact on Aboriginal cultural heritage in the future, the Department of Planning & Environment have engaged AHMS, to undertake an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) of the study area in accordance with OEH guidelines. The ACHA will assess the potential impact of any proposed future development, and will provide the necessary documentation to apply for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP), or Permits, should one be required.
Proposed Assessment Methodology

AHMS proposes to develop an ACHA in accordance with the latest OEH guidelines for the proposed development, being:

- *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW* (OEH 2011);
- *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW 2010); and
- *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (DECCW 2010).

Development of the ACHA will include the following tasks:

- Aboriginal community consultation;
- Archaeological survey (further detail is provided below). This component would be undertaken in conjunction with representatives of the Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) based on selective commercial engagements determined by the client;
- Preparation of the ACHA, which incorporates Aboriginal consultation and any cultural information provided, outlines the findings of the archaeological survey, assesses potential impacts to Aboriginal heritage, and makes recommendations on any Aboriginal heritage sites and/or objects that may be present within the proposed subject area;
- If required, prepare an application for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) or Permits to allow the proposed activity and/or additional mitigation measures to be conducted;
- If sites are identified, submit Site Cards and Site Impact Recording Forms to OEH; and
- If required, develop a Care and Control agreement for recovered artefacts and/or submit a site update card to OEH upon reburial of artefacts.

Archaeological Survey Methodology

We propose to undertake an archaeological survey of the subject area utilising a stratified sampling methodology. Methods will follow the *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW (2010)* and aim to identify any visible Aboriginal objects (material traces and evidence of Aboriginal land); as well as identify those areas where it can be inferred that, although not visible, material traces or evidence of Aboriginal land use have a likelihood of being present under the ground surface (potential archaeological deposits).

The survey strategy will utilise the following requirements of the Code and include:

1. Inspection of a sample of the landforms that will potentially be impacted;
2. Place a proportional emphasis on those landforms deemed to have archaeological potential;
3. Re-inspection of the GPS location of any previously recorded Aboriginal sites, in order to provide information on their current extent and significance;
4. Pedestrian survey of pre-determined transects;
5. Taking representative photographs of survey units and landforms where informative;
6. Recording landform and general soil information for each survey unit;
7. Recording the land surface and vegetation conditions encountered during the survey, accounting as appropriate for things like vegetation, rock outcrops, coarse fragments, etc.) and how these impact on the visibility of objects;
8. Recording any Aboriginal objects observed during the survey; and
9. Recording of spatial information suitable for mapping according to Code requirements and the calculation of survey coverage.

The archaeological survey is designed to sample the precincts in a systematic manner by targeting areas of ground surface visibility and including a representative sample of all landforms. Any areas of ground exposure will be examined for archaeological evidence such as stone artefacts, charcoal and shell. Ground surfaces and cut and fill features will also be examined to determine the degree of soil disturbance, erosion and potential for subsurface archaeological deposits.

The Archaeological survey will involve a five stage approach:

**Stage 1** – In conjunction with the proponent, AHMS will seek contact with the landowners to arrange a date for the archaeological survey of each property to be conducted. AHMS will also seek advice from the landowners on access issues and discuss any stipulated requirements.

**Stage 2** - An analysis of topographic maps and aerial photographs will be undertaken prior to the survey to identify landforms across the subject area and to identify areas of ground surface exposure in the form of tracks, unsealed roads, dams, cuttings and areas of ground exposure. Those properties that meet these requirements will then be targeted during the survey because they provide an opportunity to identify surface artefact scatters and to investigate exposed soil profiles. Properties that have been subject to extensive ground disturbance will be neglected in favour of undisturbed properties with high ground surface visibility in order to maximise the chance of identifying surface sites and potential archaeological deposits. The properties selected for survey will also reflect the range of landforms present within the subject areas.

**Stage 3** - The first step when entering each property is to familiarize ourselves with the landscape and target areas of ground surface visibility.

**Stage 4** - Following the initial scoping work, ground inspection will be conducted on foot in survey transects. Areas of ground surface visibility will be surveyed in their entirety. We propose to traverse approximately 70km in survey transects across both precincts.

**Stage 5** – Any surface artefact scatters found during the survey will be recorded in detail using a pro-forma developed for field recording. The location and extent of each surface site would be recorded with a GPS. Field notes will be made and photographs taken to document landscape configuration, soil profiles, soil disturbance, ground visibility and vegetation types. Previously recorded sites will also be assessed in order to provide information on their current extent and significance.

The OEH Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales requires that when a desktop assessment and archaeological survey confirm the presence or likelihood of Aboriginal objects that will be harmed by a proposed development, further investigation is required.

However, if the results of the desktop research and archaeological survey indicate that there is a low likelihood for sub-surface Aboriginal objects with potential conservation value to be present within the study area and high levels of past disturbance have most likely removed or disturbed any Aboriginal sites or objects, then further archaeological investigation (in the form
of sub-surface testing) should not be considered warranted prior to the proponent undertaking an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP).

Aboriginal Consultation

AHMS has recently begun the formal consultation process in accordance with OEH’s Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010 (DECCW, 2010). The project currently has the following Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs):

- Deerubbin LALC;
- Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments;
- Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation;
- Darug Land Observations;
- Tocomwall;
- Phil Kahn;
- Tony Williams;
- Shane Williams;
- Darren Williams; and
- Andrew Williams.

Please note that unless otherwise advised, we are required to send details of all RAPs to OEH and Local Aboriginal Land Council as part of the consultation procedure.

Timeframes

AHMS proposes the following indicative timeframes for the project:

- Distribution of this document to the RAPs: 1 May 2014.
- End of review period for the proposed methodology: 28 May 2014.
- Field Survey: eight days to be undertaken in early June 2014.

Information Sought

AHMS would appreciate your review of the above methodology proposed for the investigation and assessment of the project.

In returning your answers, please include the following where appropriate:

- Any protocols that you would like adopted during the project;
• Identification of any Aboriginal objects of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Identification of any places of cultural significance and/or importance that you are aware of within the activity area, and how you wish them to be dealt with during the project;

• Guidance on the protocols, sensitivity, use and/or distribution of any cultural information that you provide AHMS;

• Whether you require any further information prior to AHMS proceeding with the project; and

• Any initial thoughts on the potential long term storage and/or management of the Aboriginal objects that may be recovered from the test excavations if they ensue.

Please ensure AHMS is also provided with your nominated individual’s contact information including phone number, fax number, postal address and e-mail address (if available).

If you wish to be considered for participation in any fieldwork, please also provide information on the following:

• Current certificates of currency for relevant insurances;

• Information on hourly or daily rates;

• Information that may demonstrate experience in archaeological survey/excavation;

• An assurance that any proposed staff are ‘fit for work’ to undertake the physical labour of archaeological survey/excavation.

• An assurance that any proposed site staff are endorsed by a relevant section of the Aboriginal community and are able to confer and/or report back to them on project outcomes.

We also direct you to AHMS’ policy and procedures on Aboriginal engagement, which will be provided with this document.

It is important that you understand that AHMS will compile and forward information for review by the proponent, but we do not decide who will be involved in any paid capacity.

If you like to arrange for any elders or other community members to be able to visit the site during the work, please let us know.
References


Figure 1 - The location and extent of the study area showing Riverstone East and Vineyard Precincts
Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments
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Gordon Morton
Mob: 0422 865 831
Fax: 45 677 421

Celestine Everingham
90 Hermitage Rd., Kurrajong Hills, 2758
Ph/Fax: 45677 421
Mob: 0432 528 896

Attention
Alan Williams

Cultural Heritage – Building respect for the past and Conservation for the future
Dear Alan,

I write to you in reference to the Riverstone east and Vineyard growth centre precinct. I would like you to put on record that my family is exercising their rights under sect 211 of the Native title act. My immediate family has lived in the area for since 1897 and my family has not extinguished it connection to country. My family has worked on Archaeological work in the past and is still doing so. I have been involved in Aboriginal affair all my life and like my father before me, who was part of the first 1938 human rights movement in Australia.

I am on several government's boards and the board of the Returned Services League and I have a degree in Engineering and MBA. In the 1960 with other members of the community and me went on the famous freedom bus ride which resulted in the 1967 referendum. I was involved in the land Rights act so I have the credentials to represent the community. I would like to inform you that your company in the past and is still employing none- Aboriginal people (claiming to be Aboriginal people) in your field work which have to seek leave of the federal court of Australia to show proof that they are of Aboriginal decent.

My family is seeing our heritage being destroyed at a fast rate and now are making a stand, I have spoken to my colleges in the NSW government and I have been involved in recommendations in changes to the legislation relating to the Coomalley findings. For too long has the National Parks wildlife service been in control of the legislation so the recommendations are to put in place a local committee to say who carries out our heritage in the area.

Your methodology is sound but I have grave reservation the surveys which have been conducted in the past are inaccurate and need to be reassessed. Over the years the landscape has changed significantly and the flood in the area over the past 60 years have contributed the demographics of the area. I would like you to consider my families in participating in the survey as it is very significant to my family.

If you have any objections to my request as a traditional owner could you please respond to me in writing?
Allan

I write to you in reference to the Riverstone east and Vineyard growth centre precinct. I would like you to put on record that my family is exercising their rights under sect 211 of the Native title act. My immediate family has lived in the area for since 1897 and my family has not extinguished it connection to country. My family has worked on Archaeological work in the past and is still doing so. I have been involved in Aboriginal affair all my life and like my grandfather before me, who was part of the first 1938 human rights movement in Australia.

I am Aboriginal person who can provide the information based on Aboriginal lore and custom, being a traditional owners or custodians of the land that is the subject of the proposed project. I am a traditional owners or custodians with appropriate cultural heritage knowledge to inform decision making and I continue to maintain a deep respect for their ancestral belief system, traditional lore and custom and recognize my responsibilities and obligations to protect and conserve my culture and heritage and care for my traditional lands or Country and have the trust of my community, knowledge and understanding of their culture, and permission to speak about it.

Regards

Andrew Williams

Unit 2 / 24 Goodwin Street Narrabeen

0456 399 687

Begin forwarded message:

From: Alan Williams <AWilliams@ahms.com.au>
Subject: FW: Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centres - Presentation of Information and Methodology for Comment - 28 May 2014
Date: 30 April 2014 3:46:13 pm AEST
To: "Andrew Williams (andle81@tpg.com.au)" <andle81@tpg.com.au>

Dear Andrew

Thank you for registering your interest in AHMS' assessment of the Riverstone East and Vineyard Growth Centre precincts for the Department of Planning and Environment. As required by the Office of Environment & Heritage guidelines, please find attached a document elaborating on the project, and outlining an assessment methodology
for the site investigation of the two areas. Can you please review the documentation, and provide any comments (if you wish) within 28 days.

Towards the end of the comment period, AHMS will begin to discuss the requirements and detail of any fieldwork. AHMS has yet to undertake detailed discussions on this, however if you wish to participate, can you please ensure the questions/information at the end of the attached document are answered/provided to AHMS. Please also note AHMS’ policy and procedures provided to the client on this matter.

Happy to discuss further

Thanks

Al

Alan Williams | Manager NSW – Aboriginal Heritage
M: 0408 203 180 | awilliams@ahms.com.au

2/729 Elizabeth Street, Waterloo, NSW 2017
P: 02 9555 4000 | F: 02 9555 7005 | www.ahms.com.au
Comments on the ACHAR
Dear Alan,

Our group is a non-profit organisation that has been active for over forty years in Western Sydney, we are a Darug community group with over three hundred members. The main aim in our constitution is the care of Darug sites, places, wildlife and to promote our culture and provide education on the Darug history.

Our groups founding members lived in the Vineyard/Riverstone area for many years, this is an area that our group has knowledge and connection to. This is a Darug landscape with evidence of continued occupation throughout the landscape. The Darug history and sites in this area have been closed off due to private land tenure for many years, only a small percentage has been previously accessible during development assessments at land holder’s discretion.

We have received and reviewed the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment for the Vineyard Precinct NSW the report is inclusive and the assessment is thorough with a good documentation of findings.

We support the findings and recommendation within this report.

During the assessment our group is concerned with the amount of groups consulted from out of the area and also personal profit groups, this area has traditional owners with knowledge, all other groups that would like to be consulted should receive documentation but not employment.
Please contact us with all further enquiries on the above contacts.

Regards

[Signature]

Justine Coplin